### Evaluating Numerical Models to Improve the Prediction of Tropical Cyclone Intensity



Robert Rogers AOML Program Review 18-20 March 2008



## Outline

- Background and motivation
- Examples
  - Surface winds
  - Boundary layer structure
  - Humidity
  - Microphysics
  - Rainfall

## **Background and motivation**

Improvements in intensity forecasts have lagged improvements in track forecasts

Numerical model guidance can be key contributor to intensity forecasts

Limitations in numerical models a significant contributor to slower improvements in intensity forecasts

- inadequate specification of the TC vortex in the initial conditions
- deficient representation of physical processes
- insufficient resolution

## **Background and motivation**

Comparing numerical models with observations in a robust manner can identify deficiencies in the models and lead to improvements in those models

HRD is uniquely positioned to contribute to this effort through a combination of data collection and analysis and numerical model experiments

### Surface wind structure

# How well do numerical models predict magnitude and distribution of surface wind field?



valid 18 UTC Sept. 11

Errors in forecasts of radial location (nm) of 34-kt wind radii for landfalling TCs



#### Comparisons between models and observations

- peak wind weaker
- RMW larger, 34- and 64-kt isotachs at larger radii
- wind field more symmetric

#### Possible deficiencies

- initial vortex too large, symmetric
- resolution too coarse

#### **Boundary layer structure**

How well do numerical models depict the mean and turbulence structure of the tropical cyclone boundary layer?



Comparisons between models and observations

- uncoupled model boundary layer is too warm; coupling improves profile
- uncoupled heat flux is in wrong direction

#### Possible deficiencies

- heat and moisture transfer coefficients specified incorrectly
- sea-spray effects not represented adequately

### Humidity

How well do numerical models represent initial humidity fields?



#### Comparisons between models and observations

- low- to mid-tropospheric air too moist around east side of storm in initial fields of control runs
- bias persists throughout forecast

#### Possible deficiencies

 moisture data from dropsondes not routinely incorporated into operational analyses until 2006

### **Microphysics**

How well do numerical models depict the magnitude and distribution of hydrometeors and vertical velocity?



### Rainfall

# How well do numerical models depict the magnitude and distribution of tropical cyclone rainfall?



Comparisons between models and observations

- GFDL (NAM) produces too much (too little) rain in inner core
- GFS, R-CLIPER produce inner-core distribution well

PDFs of rain flux 0-100 km band NCEP/NAM Observed 15 Frequency (%) Rain (in) 0-100 km band CI IPER 15 10 10 1 100 Rain (in)

Possible deficiencies

- errors in convective, microphysical parameterizations
- resolution deficiencies compensating?

### Summary

- HRD involved in several model evaluation activities
  - surface winds
  - boundary layer structure
  - humidity
  - microphysics
  - rainfall
- HRD uniquely positioned to contribute to these activities
- Insights gained from evaluations can guide activities toward improving model parameterization, initialization, ultimately intensity forecasts

#### Evaluating Numerical Models to Improve the Prediction of Tropical Cyclone Intensity

**RI/Decay** 

(John Kaplan)

## **QUESTIONS?**



# **Background Material**

