

Cruise: EX1507
Ship: Explorer of the Seas
Expo Code: 33KF20150212
Dates: February 12th – 19st, 2015
Chief Scientist: Kevin Sullivan
Equipment: TSG-Flow thru system
Total number of stations: 20
Location: Port Canaveral, FL to Willemstad, Curacao

Sample Collection

The discrete samples were collected from the TSG-flow thru system onboard the Royal Caribbean ship Explorer of the Seas by Kevin Sullivan. The date and time listed in the data file are UTC when each sample bottle was collected.

DIC:

20 locations, 20 samples each 500-ml, 4 duplicate samples.

Sample_ID#: 301, etc.; Sample bottle number

PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof

Analyzed by: Charles Featherstone

pH:

20 locations, 20 samples each 500-ml, 4 duplicate samples.

Sample_ID#: 301, etc.; Sample bottle number

PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof

Analyzed by: Charles Featherstone

TALK:

20 locations, 20 samples each 500-ml, 4 duplicate samples.

Sample_ID#: 301, etc.; Sample bottle number

PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof

Analyzed by: Dr. Leticia Barbero, Dr. Denis Pierrot and Charles Featherstone

Sample Analysis

DIC:

Instrument ID	Date	Certified CRM ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$)	CRM Value ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$)	CRM Offset ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$)	Blank (Counts)	Avg. Sample Analysis Time
AOML 3	03/05/2015	2016.65	2021.75	5.10	12.0	8

Analysis date: 03/05/2015

Coulometer used: DICE-CM5015- AOML 3

Blanks: 12.0 counts/min

CRM # 0965 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):

Batch 129, c: 2016.65 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.361

CRM values measured: AOML 3: offset 5.10 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2021.75 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).

Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 8, 8 and 10 min.

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 4 sets of duplicate samples, average difference 5.45 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (0.15-11.37), average STDEV of 3.86 (0.11-8.04).

Instrument ID	Sample ID	Bottle #	Corrected DIC ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$)	Average	Difference	STDEV
AOML3	304	304	2020.06			
AOML3	305	305	2025.79	2022.93	5.73	4.05
AOML3	308	308	2002.80			
AOML3	309	309	2014.18	2008.49	11.37	8.04
AOML3	316	316	2001.34			
AOML3	317	317	2001.19	2001.26	0.15	0.11
AOML3	319	319	2039.95			
AOML3	320	320	2035.40	2037.68	4.55	3.22
Average					5.45	3.86

CRM, salinity and HgCl_2 correction applied: Salinity correction was applied using TSG salinity.

Remarks

The volume correction was applied due to added HgCl_2 (Measured DIC*1.00037).
The first CRM of each cell was used for a CRM correction.

The DIC instruments were stable: the gas loop and CRM values did not change significantly throughout the life span of each cell. End blank (AOML 3 =12.0).

The samples were analyzed using the DICE (AOML 3) and a new coulometer from UIC, Inc. CM5015 with CM5011 emulation software.

Duplicates were sampled 1 to 1.5 minutes apart from the ships TSG flow thru system.

pH:

Analysis date: 03/05/2015

Spectrophotometer used: HP Agilent 8453

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 4 sets of duplicate samples, average difference 0.0059 (0.0024-0.0097), average STDEV of 0.0042 (0.0017-0.0069).

Instrument	Sample_ID	Bottle #	pH @20deeg C	Average	STDEV	Difference
HP Agilent 8453	3040000	304	8.1410			
HP Agilent 8453	3050000	305	8.1482	8.1446	0.0051	0.0072
HP Agilent 8453	3080000	308	8.1475			
HP Agilent 8453	3090000	309	8.1500	8.1487	0.0017	0.0024
HP Agilent 8453	3160000	316	8.1452			
HP Agilent 8453	3170000	317	8.1355	8.1404	0.0069	0.0097
HP Agilent 8453	3190000	319	8.1394			
HP Agilent 8453	3200000	320	8.1353	8.1374	0.0029	0.0042
Average					0.0042	0.0059

Remarks

The equations of Liu et al, 2011 formulated using the purified m-cresol purple indicator was used to determine pH of the samples. pH samples were analyzed at 20⁰C at Full Scale (pH 0-14).

Temperature for each sample was measured before analysis using a Hart Scientific Fluke 1523 reference thermometer.

Approximately 80 mL of sample was extracted from each DIC sample bottle by syringe before DIC analysis to determine the pH.

Duplicates were sampled 1 to 1.5 minutes apart from the ships TSG flow thru system.

Talk:

The results posted are analyses from the same sample bottles used for DIC and pH.

Analysis dates: 03/10/2015

Titration system used: Open cell

CRM batch: 129, S = 33.361, certified TA = 2237.32 μ mol/kg

2 CRM samples were run on each cell, before and after the seawater samples. The TA for the water samples was corrected using the averaged ratios between the certified and measured values of the 2 CRMs run on each cell. The following table shows the CRM measurements for each cell.

Cell System	Date	Time	Bottle #	TA	ΔCRM
1	3/10/2015	09:23:23	533	2221.96	
1	3/10/2015	15:52:53	601	2223.04	1.08
2	3/10/2015	09:59:22	533	2214.91	
2	3/10/2015	16:26:57	601	2214.36	0.55
				Average	0.82
				Std. Dev.	0.37

Reproducibility: 4 sets of duplicate samples were run in the same cell, with an average absolute difference of 5.74 μmol/kg (0.6 – 11.91), and a Standard Deviation of 5.84. The duplicates were sampled 1 to 1.5 minutes apart from the ships TSG flow thru system.

Bottle #	System	Date	Time	S	TA	Difference	Comments
304	1	3/10/2015	10:50:25	36.03	2360.55	9.54	
305	1	3/10/2015	11:39:50	36.04	2351.01		
308	1	3/10/2015	12:39:54	35.57	2333.49	11.91	
309	1	3/10/2015	12:58:58	35.59	2345.40		
316	1	3/10/2015	14:26:55	35.49	2330.18	0.6	
317	1	3/10/2015	14:50:45	35.49	2330.78		
319	1	3/10/2015	15:09:26	36.03	2359.54	0.92	
320	1	3/10/2015	15:28:59	36.04	2358.62		
					Average	5.74	
					Std. Dev.	5.84	

Remarks

The two systems behaved well during the analyses.

Duplicates were sampled 1 to 1.5 minutes apart from the ships TSG flow thru system and reproducibility was affected by this.

Comments

The latitude, longitude, date, and time reported with the DIC, pH and TAlk measurements were taken from the sample field log. The field log values are provided for reference; no post-cruise assurance of accuracy has been done to this data.

The Sample ID is the sample station, cast number and Niskin bottle number for the discrete samples.

UPDATE:

Between March and June of 2021, all of the data for the discrete samples was put into a uniform format. The supporting information was checked for accuracy, especially the expocode, date, time, and positions.

Additionally, pH results were recalculated to 20 and 25 degrees Celsius.