

Cruise: GU1701
Ship: R/V Gordon Gunter
Expo Code: 33GG20170516 (Leg 1) and 33GG20170530 (Leg 2)
Dates: Leg 1 (05/16/2017 – 05/26/2017) and Leg 2 (05/30/2017 – 06/06/2017)
Chief Scientist: J. Prezioso (Leg 1); D. Richardson (Leg 2)
Equipment: CTD Rosette & Ship's Flow Thru (FT)
Total number of stations: 42
Location: U.S. Mid-Atlantic and New England coastal region

The samples were run for Dr. Jon Hare of the NEFSC as part of our coastal ocean acidification monitoring project.

Sample Collection

The discrete samples were collected from Niskin bottles attached to a 24 bottle configured rosette onboard the R/V Gordon Gunter by the survey tech Christopher Taylor. The date and time listed in the data file are UTC when each sample bottle was collected.

DIC:

42 locations, 132 samples each 500-ml, 11 duplicate samples.
 Sample_ID#: 90101, etc.; Station, cast number and Niskin bottle number
 PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof
 Analyzed by: Charles Featherstone and Patrick Mears

pH:

42 locations, 132 samples each 500-ml, 11 duplicate samples.
 Sample_ID#: 90101, etc.; Station, cast number and Niskin bottle number
 PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof
 Analyzed by: Charles Featherstone and Patrick Mears

TAlk:

42 locations, 132 samples each 500-ml, 11 duplicate samples.
 Sample_ID#: 90101, etc.; Station, cast number and Niskin bottle number
 PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof
 Analyzed by: Dr. Leticia Barbero, Patrick Mears and Charles Featherstone

Sample Analysis

DIC:

| Instrument ID | Date | Certified CRM ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$) | CRM Value ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$) | CRM Offset ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$) | Blank (Counts) | Avg. Sample Analysis Time |
|---------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| AOML 3 | 06/08/2017 | 2017.88 | 2018.85 | 0.97 | 26.0 | 11 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|---------|---------|------|------|----|
| AOML 4 | 06/08/2017 | 2017.88 | 2012.15 | 5.73 | 37.0 | 13 |
| AOML 3 | 06/09/2017 | 2017.88 | 2019.74 | 1.86 | 36.0 | 13 |
| AOML 4 | 06/09/2017 | 2017.88 | 2013.14 | 4.74 | 47.0 | 14 |
| AOML 3 | 06/12/2017 | 2017.88 | 2016.60 | 0.73 | 18.0 | 14 |
| AOML 3 | 06/12/2017 | 2017.88 | 2021.52 | 3.64 | 27.0 | 14 |
| AOML 4 | 06/12/2017 | 2017.88 | 2014.18 | 3.70 | 28.0 | 16 |
| AOML 3 | 06/13/2017 | 2017.88 | 2017.38 | 0.50 | 28.0 | 13 |
| AOML 4 | 06/13/2017 | 2017.88 | 2017.93 | 0.05 | 38.0 | 16 |

Analysis date: 06/08/2017

Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 3

Blanks: 26.0 counts/min

CRM # 1027 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):

Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343

CRM values measured: AOML 3: offset 0.97 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2018.85 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).

Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 11, 8 and 16 min.

Analysis date: 06/08/2017

Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 4

Blanks: 37.0 counts/min

CRM # 730 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):

Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343

CRM values measured: AOML 4: offset 5.73 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2012.15 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).

Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 13, 9 and 17 min.

Analysis date: 06/09/2017

Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 3

Blanks: 36.0 counts/min

CRM # 675 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):

Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343

CRM values measured: AOML 3: offset 1.86 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2019.74 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).

Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 13, 7 and 20 min.

Analysis date: 06/09/2017

Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 4

Blanks: 47.0 counts/min

CRM # 34 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):

Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343

CRM values measured: AOML 4: offset 4.74 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2013.14 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).

Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 14, 12 and 16 min.

Analysis date: 06/12/2017

Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 3

Blanks: 18.0 and 27.0 counts/min

CRM # 173 and #857 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity): Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343

CRM values measured: AOML 3: offset 0.73 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2016.60 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).

CRM values measured: AOML 3: offset 3.64 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2021.52 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).
 Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 14, 10 and 15 min.

Analysis date: 06/12/2017
 Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 4
 Blanks: 28.0 counts/min
 CRM # 480 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):
 Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343
 CRM values measured: AOML 4: offset 3.70 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2014.18 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).
 Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 16, 13 and 16 min.

Analysis date: 06/13/2017
 Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 3
 Blanks: 28.0 counts/min
 CRM # 547 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):
 Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343
 CRM values measured: AOML 3: offset 0.50 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2017.38 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).
 Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 13, 10 and 15 min.

Analysis date: 06/13/2017
 Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 4
 Blanks: 38.0 counts/min
 CRM # 223 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):
 Batch 150, c: 2017.88 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.343
 CRM values measured: AOML 4: offset 0.05 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2017.93 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).
 Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 16, 13 and 20 min.

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 11 duplicate samples were collected with an average difference 4.28 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (0.91 – 8.02) and an average STDEV of 3.03 (0.0.65 – 5.67).

| Instrument | Sample ID | Corrected DIC ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$) | Average | STDEV | Difference |
|------------|-----------|---|---------|-------|------------|
| AOML3 | 180101 | 1992.06 | | | |
| AOML3 | 180101 | 1988.13 | 1990.10 | 2.78 | 3.93 |
| AOML3 | 280205 | 1967.32 | | | |
| AOML3 | 280205 | 1970.97 | 1969.14 | 2.58 | 3.65 |
| AOML4 | 370505 | 2027.98 | | | |
| AOML4 | 370505 | 2027.06 | 2027.52 | 0.65 | 0.91 |
| AOML4 | 390601 | 2202.49 | | | |
| AOML4 | 390601 | 2206.39 | 2204.44 | 2.76 | 3.90 |
| AOML3 | 561111 | 2061.19 | | | |
| AOML3 | 561111 | 2067.72 | 2064.46 | 4.62 | 6.54 |

| | | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|------|
| AOML3 | 641304 | 2120.42 | | | |
| AOML3 | 641304 | 2124.83 | 2122.63 | 3.11 | 4.40 |
| AOML4 | 731401 | 2191.22 | | | |
| AOML4 | 731401 | 2192.93 | 2192.08 | 1.21 | 1.71 |
| AOML3 | 891911 | 2003.81 | | | |
| AOML3 | 891911 | 2008.01 | 2005.91 | 2.97 | 4.20 |
| AOML4 | 1212211 | 2027.50 | | | |
| AOML4 | 1212211 | 2032.85 | 2030.18 | 3.79 | 5.35 |
| AOML4 | 1222304 | 2143.32 | | | |
| AOML4 | 1222304 | 2147.78 | 2145.55 | 3.16 | 4.46 |
| AOML4 | 1272404 | 2163.68 | | | |
| AOML4 | 1272404 | 2171.70 | 2167.69 | 5.67 | 8.02 |
| Average | | | | 3.03 | 4.28 |

CRM, salinity and HgCl₂ correction applied: Salinity correction was applied using TSG salinity.

Remarks

The volume correction was applied due to added HgCl₂ (Measured DIC*1.00037).
The first CRM of each cell was used for a CRM correction.

The DIC instruments were stable: the gas loop and CRM values did not change significantly throughout the life span of each cell.

The blank on AOML 3 (06/08/2017) was raised from 16.9 to 26.0 before running the CRM.

The blank on AOML 4 (06/08/2017) was raised from 33.0 to 37.0 before running the CRM.

The blank on AOML 3 (06/09/2017) was raised from 24.6 to 36.0 before running the CRM.

The blank on AOML 4 (06/09/2017) was raised from 24.5 to 37.0 before running the CRM. Raised blank to 47.0 and re-ran CRM.

The blank on AOML 3 (06/12/2017) was raised from 12.0 to 18.0 before running the CRM. After sample bottle 79 raised blank to 27.0 and ran another CRM.

The blank on AOML 4 (06/12/2017) was raised from 13.0 to 28.0 before running the CRM.

The blank on AOML 3 (06/13/2017) was raised from 12.0 to 28.0 before running the CRM.

The blank on AOML 4 (06/13/2017) was raised from 25.2 to 38.0 before running the CRM.

The samples were analyzed using the DICE (AOML 3 and 4) and a new coulometer from UIC, Inc. CM5015 with CM5011 emulation software.

pH:

Analysis date: 06/08/2017 to 06/09/2017 and 06/12/2017 to 06/13/2017
Spectrophotometer used: HP Agilent 8453

CRMs measured before each sample run

| CRM # | Analysis Date | Salinity | pH Value |
|-------|---------------|----------|----------|
| 967 | 6/8/17 | 33.343 | 7.9422 |
| 423 | 6/9/17 | 33.343 | 7.9434 |
| 361 | 6/12/17 | 33.343 | 7.9429 |
| 1168 | 6/13/17 | 33.343 | 7.9403 |

| | |
|---------|--------|
| Average | 7.9422 |
| STDEV | 0.0014 |

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 11 duplicates were collected.

| System | Sample ID | pH | Average | Difference | STDEV |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|---------|------------|--------|
| HP Agilent 8453 | 180101 | 7.851 | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 180101 | 7.850 | 7.851 | 0.0010 | 0.0007 |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 280205 | 7.986 | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 280205 | 7.986 | 7.986 | 0.0005 | 0.0004 |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 370505 | 7.918 | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 370505 | 7.919 | 7.919 | 0.0009 | 0.0006 |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 390601 | 7.726 | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 390601 | 7.727 | 7.727 | 0.0010 | 0.0007 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--|
| HP Agilent 8453 | 561111 | 8.040 | | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 561111 | 8.041 | 8.040 | 0.0007 | 0.0005 | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 641304 | 7.9063 | | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 641304 | 7.9066 | 7.906 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 731401 | 7.7666 | | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 731401 | 7.7664 | 7.766 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 891911 | 7.9306 | | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 891911 | 7.9397 | 7.935 | 0.0091 | 0.0064 | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 1212211 | 7.928 | | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 1212211 | 7.928 | 7.928 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 1222304 | 7.852 | | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 1222304 | 7.853 | 7.853 | 0.0008 | 0.0006 | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 1272404 | 7.7913 | | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 1272404 | 7.7907 | 7.791 | 0.0006 | 0.0004 | |
| Average | | | | 0.0014 | 0.0010 | |

Remarks

The equations of Liu et al, 2011 formulated using the purified m-cresol purple indicator was used to determine pH of the samples. pH samples were analyzed at 20⁰C at Full Scale (pH 0-14).

Temperature for each sample was measured before analysis using a Hart Scientific Fluke 1523 reference thermometer.

Approximately 80 mL of sample was extracted from each DIC sample bottle by syringe before DIC analysis to determine the pH.

pH was measured with an automated system and water bath for constant temperature.

Talk:

Analysis date: 06/12/2017 - 06/14/2017 and 06/19/2017 – 06/22/2017

Titration system used: Open cell

CRM Batch 129, Salinity = 33.361, cert. TA = 2237.32 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (06/12/2017 Sys1).
 CRM Batch 150, Salinity = 33.343, cert. TA = 2214.71 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$.

The CRM was analyzed before the samples and the same CRM was run at the end of analysis each day for each system.

The TA for the water samples was corrected using the daily averaged ratios between the certified and measured values of the CRMs run on each cell. The following table shows the CRM measurements for each day and cell.

| Cell System | Date | Time | Bottle # | TA | \Delta CRM |
|-------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|------------|
| 1 | 06/12/2017 | 12:22:54 | 588 | 2219.41 | |
| 1 | 06/12/2017 | 19:36:20 | 588 | 2220.40 | 0.99 |
| 1 | 06/13/2017 | 11:52:24 | 927 | 2221.62 | |
| 1 | 06/13/2017 | 17:35:14 | 927 | 2220.22 | 1.40 |
| 1 | 06/19/2017 | 12:59:51 | 1109 | 2220.70 | |
| 1 | 06/19/2017 | 18:54:44 | 1109 | 2219.25 | 1.47 |
| 1 | 06/20/2017 | 10:36:11 | 47 | 2217.59 | |
| 1 | 06/20/2017 | 15:50:31 | 47 | 2118.41 | 0.82 |
| 1 | 06/21/2017 | 10:56:48 | 973 | 2220.14 | |
| 1 | 06/21/2017 | 15:28:53 | 973 | 2222.60 | 2.46 |
| 1 | 06/22/2017 | 10:22:03 | 654 | 2217.10 | |
| 1 | 06/22/2017 | 15:11:47 | 654 | 2218.92 | 1.82 |
| 2 | 06/12/2017 | 12:45:57 | 784 | 2220.64 | |
| 2 | 06/12/2017 | 19:32:23 | 784 | 2223.14 | 2.50 |
| 2 | 06/13/2017 | 11:41:22 | 1229 | 2220.38 | |
| 2 | 06/13/2017 | 17:41:01 | 1229 | 2217.97 | 2.41 |
| 2 | 06/14/2017 | 08:29:20 | 604 | 2218.38 | |
| 2 | 06/14/2017 | 19:09:49 | 604 | 2217.03 | 1.77 |
| 2 | 06/19/2017 | 11:16:12 | 300 | 2216.16 | |
| 2 | 06/19/2017 | 18:50:2 | 300 | 2219.61 | 3.45 |

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 11 duplicate samples were collected with an average difference 2.96 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (0.15 – 10.14) and an average STDEV of 2.09 (0.11 – 7.17).

| System | Sample ID | TAlk | Average | Difference | STDEV |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|-------|
| System 2 | 180101 | 2115.06 | 2117.60 | 5.09 | 3.60 |
| System2 | 180101 | 2120.15 | | | |
| System 1 | 280205 | 2186.50 | 2181.86 | 9.29 | 6.57 |
| System 1 | 280205 | 2177.22 | | | |
| System 2 | 370505 | 2192.06 | 2192.40 | 0.68 | 0.48 |
| System2 | 370505 | 2192.74 | | | |
| System 1 | 390601 | 2317.78 | 2317.19 | 1.19 | 0.84 |
| System 1 | 390601 | 2316.59 | | | |
| System2 | 561111 | 2304.59 | 2304.66 | 0.15 | 0.11 |
| System 2 | 561111 | 2304.74 | | | |
| System2 | 641304 | 2313.67 | 2314.28 | 1.22 | 0.86 |
| System 2 | 641304 | 2314.89 | | | |
| System 2 | 731401 | 2324.75 | 2325.59 | 1.68 | 1.19 |
| System2 | 731401 | 2326.43 | | | |
| System 2 | 891911 | 2178.84 | 2183.91 | 10.14 | 7.17 |
| System2 | 891911 | 2188.98 | | | |
| System2 | 1212211 | 2205.17 | 2204.47 | 1.40 | 0.99 |
| System 2 | 1212211 | 2203.77 | | | |
| System 1 | 1222304 | 2307.56 | 2307.91 | 0.70 | 0.50 |
| System 1 | 1222304 | 2308.26 | | | |
| System 1 | 1272404 | 2302.14 | 2301.60 | 1.06 | 0.75 |
| System 1 | 1272404 | 2301.07 | | | |
| Average | | | | 2.96 | 2.09 |

Remarks

The CRM measurement for each day was used to correct the data for that day only. Both systems worked well.

Comments

The latitude, longitude, date, and time reported with the DIC, pH and TAlk measurements were taken from the sample field log. The field log values are provided

for reference; no post-cruise assurance of accuracy has been done to this data.

The Sample ID is the sample station, cast number and Niskin bottle number for the discrete samples.

Salinity values for the flow thru (FT) samples were taken from the UW pCO₂ system.

Corresponding UW pCO₂ data can be found at the following website
<http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/ocd/ocdweb/occ.html>

Cruise: GU1702

Cruise: GU1702

Ship: R/V Gordon Gunter

Expo Code: 33GG20170610

Dates: 06/10/2017 – 06/21/2017

Chief Scientist: Harvey Walsh

Equipment: Niskin bottle & Ship's Flow Thru (FT)

Total number of stations: 18

Location: U.S. Mid-Atlantic and New England coastal region

The samples were run as part of our coastal ocean acidification monitoring project.

Sample Collection

The discrete samples were collected from an old fashioned Niskin bottle triggered with a messenger onboard the R/V Gordon Gunter by H. Walsh and E. Broughton. Depths are approximate, there is no digital information about bottle trip depths. The date and time listed in the data file are UTC when each sample bottle was collected.

DIC:

18 locations, 20 samples each 500-ml, 2 duplicate samples.

Sample_ID#: 90101, etc.; Station, cast number and Niskin bottle number

PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof
Analyzed by: Charles Featherstone and Patrick Mears

pH:

18 locations, 20 samples each 500-ml, 2 duplicate samples.
Sample_ID#: 90101, etc.; Station, cast number and Niskin bottle number
PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof
Analyzed by: Charles Featherstone and Patrick Mears

TAlk:

18 locations, 20 samples each 500-ml, 2 duplicate samples.
Sample_ID#: 90101, etc.; Station, cast number and Niskin bottle number
PI: Dr. Rik Wanninkhof
Analyzed by: Charles Featherstone and Patrick Mears

Sample Analysis

DIC:

| Instrument ID | Date | Certified CRM ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$) | CRM Value ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$) | CRM Offset ($\mu\text{mol/kg}$) | Blank (Counts) | Avg. Sample Analysis Time |
|---------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| AOML 3 | 09/28/2017 | 2017.95 | 2018.67 | 0.72 | 28.0 | 11 |
| AOML 4 | 09/28/2017 | 2017.95 | 2010.87 | 7.07 | 28.0 | 20 |
| AOML 4 | 09/28/2017 | 2017.95 | 2010.85 | 7.10 | 40.0 | 15 |

Analysis date: 09/28/2017
Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 3
Blanks: 28.0 counts/min
CRM # 948 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity):
Batch 153, c: 2017.95 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.357
CRM values measured: AOML 3: offset 0.72 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2018.67 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).
Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 11, 8 and 14 min.

Analysis date: 09/28/2017
Coulometer used: DICE–CM5015- AOML 4
Blanks: 28.0 and 40.0 counts/min
CRM # 651 and 707 was used and with an assigned value of (includes both DIC and salinity): Batch 153, c: 2017.95 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$, S: 33.357
CRM values measured: AOML 4: offset 7.07 and 7.10 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (2010.87 and 2010.85 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$).
Average run time, minimum run time, maximum run time: 16, 8 and 20 min.

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 2 duplicate samples were collected with an average difference 41.46 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ (5.07 – 88.00) and an average STDEV of 41.46 (3.59 – 62.22). **The first sample for 440101 (1953.78) was marked as bad**

which resulted in such a large difference with its duplicate.

| Instrument | Sample ID | DIC (umol/kg) | Average | STDEV | Difference |
|------------|-----------|------------------|---------|-------|------------|
| AOML4 | 440101 | 1953.78 | | | |
| AOML4 | 440101 | 2041.78 | 1997.78 | 62.22 | 88.00 |
| AOML4 | 970101 | 2054.92 | | | |
| AOML4 | 970101 | 2059.99 | 2057.45 | 3.59 | 5.07 |
| Average | | | | 41.46 | 41.46 |

CRM, salinity and HgCl₂ correction applied: Salinity correction was applied using TSG salinity.

Remarks

The volume correction was applied due to added HgCl₂ (Measured DIC*1.00037).
The first CRM of each cell was used for a CRM correction.

The DIC instruments were stable: the gas loop and CRM values did not change significantly throughout the life span of each cell.

The first sample for 440101 (1953.78) was marked as bad which resulted in such a large difference with its duplicate.

The blank on AOML 3 (09/28/2017) was raised from 18.3 to 28.0 before running the CRM.

The blank on AOML 4 (09/28/2017) was raised from 21.2 to 28.0 before running the CRM. The blank was also raised again to 40.0 after running another CRM and before sample 13.

The samples were analyzed using the DICE (AOML 3 and 4) and a new coulometer from UIC, Inc. CM5015 with CM5011 emulation software.

pH:

Analysis date: 09/28/2017
Spectrophotometer used: HP Agilent 8453

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 2 duplicate samples were collected with an average difference 0.0006 (0.0003 – 0.0009) and an average STDEV of 0.0004 (0.0002 – 0.0006).

| System | Sample Bottle # | Sample ID | S | t | Corrected pH | Average | Difference | STDEV |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-------|--------|--------------|---------|------------|--------|
| HP Agilent 8453 | 11 | 440101 | 35.07 | 19.743 | 8.0944 | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 10 | 440101 | 35.07 | 19.788 | 8.0935 | 8.094 | 0.0009 | 0.0006 |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 17 | 970101 | 36.35 | 19.778 | 8.164 | | | |
| HP Agilent 8453 | 18 | 970101 | 36.35 | 19.762 | 8.164 | 8.164 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 |
| Average | | | | | | | 0.0006 | 0.0004 |

Temperatures measured during pH analysis

| Sample ID | Station | Bottle # | Temperature Deg C |
|-----------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| 60101 | 6 | 1 | 19.742 |
| 180101 | 18 | 2 | 19.736 |
| 190000 | 0 | 19 | 19.756 |
| 190101 | 19 | 3 | 19.749 |
| 200000 | 0 | 20 | 19.760 |
| 260101 | 26 | 4 | 19.740 |
| 270101 | 27 | 5 | 19.746 |
| 330101 | 33 | 6 | 19.756 |
| 340101 | 34 | 7 | 19.769 |
| 420101 | 42 | 8 | 19.758 |
| 430101 | 43 | 9 | 19.767 |
| 440101 | 44 | 11 | 19.744 |
| 440101 | 44 | 10 | 19.778 |
| 530101 | 53 | 12 | 19.771 |
| 550101 | 55 | 13 | 19.777 |
| 830101 | 83 | 14 | 19.769 |
| 850101 | 85 | 15 | 19.783 |
| 930101 | 93 | 16 | 19.751 |
| 970101 | 97 | 17 | 19.742 |
| 970101 | 97 | 18 | 19.755 |

Remarks

The equations of Liu et al, 2011 formulated using the purified m-cresol purple indicator was used to determine pH of the samples. pH samples were analyzed at 20⁰C at Full Scale (pH 0-14).

Samples were run on an automated system where the temperature was kept constant

Approximately 80 mL of sample was extracted from each DIC sample bottle by syringe before DIC analysis to determine the pH.

Talk:

Analysis date: 10/17/2017

Titration system used: Open cell

CRM Batch 153, Salinity = 33.357, cert. TA = 2225.59 μmol/kg.

On 10/17/2017 one CRM was analyzed before the samples and the same CRM was run at the end of analysis each day for each system.

The TA for the water samples was corrected using the daily averaged ratios between the certified and measured values of the CRMs run on each cell. The following table shows the CRM measurements for each day and cell.

| Cell System | Date | Time | Bottle # | TA | ΔCRM |
|-------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 10/17/2017 | 13:17:29 | 593 | 2222.72 | |
| 1 | 10/17/2017 | 21:33:19 | 593 | 2222.42 | 0.30 |
| 2 | 10/17/2017 | 13:39:01 | 683 | 2222.94 | |
| 2 | 10/17/2017 | 21:29:46 | 683 | 2223.37 | 0.43 |

Reproducibility: (# samples and average difference): 2 duplicate samples were collected with an average difference 4.10 μmol/kg (0.56 – 7.65) and an average STDEV of 2.90 (0.40 – 5.41).

| System | Sample ID | Talk | Average | Difference | STDEV |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|-------|
| System 2 | 440101 | 2299.40 | 2299.12 | 0.56 | 0.40 |
| System 2 | 440101 | 2298.84 | | | |
| System 2 | 970101 | 2366.78 | 2370.60 | 7.65 | 5.41 |
| System 2 | 970101 | 2374.43 | | | |
| Average | | | | 4.10 | 2.90 |

Remarks

The CRM measurement for each day was used to correct the data for that day only. Both systems worked well.

Comments

The latitude, longitude, date, and time reported with the DIC, pH and TAlk measurements were taken from the sample field log. The field log values are provided for reference; no post-cruise assurance of accuracy has been done to this data.

The Sample ID is the sample station, cast number and Niskin bottle number for the discrete samples.

One of the samples from the flow-through line was considered bad and flagged 4 for DIC, TA and pH. This sample is not reported in the final data file.

Corresponding UW pCO₂ data can be found at the following website
<http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/ocd/ocdweb/occ.html>