THE MARINE LABORATORY Institute of Marine Science University of Miami

FINAL REPORT

June 1962

BEHAVIOUR OF PLANKTON IN RELATION TO HYDROGRAPHIC FACTORS

to

U. S. Department of the Navy,
Office of Naval Research
Biology Branch. Contract Nonr. 840 (12) NIR 104-437

by

Hilary B. Moore

"Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the U. S. Government

1 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami 49, Florida F. G. Walton Smith Director

8935 ML 62186

[Restored and transferred to electronic form by A. Cantillo (NOAA) in 2000 as part of the Coastal and Estuarine Data/Document Archeology and Rescue (CEDAR) for South Florida. sponsored by the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Prediction and Modeling Program. Original stored at the Library, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami. Minor editorial changes were made.]

BEHAVIOUR OF PLANKTON IN RELATION TO HYDROGRAPHIC FACTORS

The majority of the animals in the upper levels of the sea carry out a marked diurnal migration. Such vertical movement is significant from various aspects. It exposes the animals during a 24-hour period to widely ranging environmental conditions. It enables them to make use of differential current systems and perform limited horizontal navigation. It is mechanism for vertical transport of dissolved and particulate substances. In addition, the propagation of sound in the sea is significantly affected by planktonic and nectonic organisms; this is particularly marked in the case of the deep scattering layer. This layer may in some cases consist of planktonic crustacea (Hersey and Moore, 1948), or, as later work of Hersey and others has indicated, may yield echoes from the swim bladders of fishes whose movements closely follow those of the smaller plankton on which they prey. In addition, it is believed that the distribution of certain noise-producing marine animals is affected by the distribution of smaller planktonic animals. In either case it is important to understand the behaviour of the plankton.

Preliminary studies (Moore, 1955) of the vertical distribution of plankton showed that there was a common pattern of behaviour running through the different groups; they all adjusted in a comparable way to the complex stimuli of external temperature, light, and other factors. Thus, study of a single selected group would yield results which would be of general application. For later studies, we decided to concentrate on copepods. They are not so active that they escape the nets. They offer a larger number of common species for comparison than any other group. Finally, they seem to exhibit certain characteristics such as brood differences more clearly than any of the other groups tried.

Since copepods are unlikely to behave normally when brought into the laboratory, it seemed preferable to obtain a wide range of observations of vertical distribution of the copepods under natural conditions in the sea, and of the environmental conditions, and to analyze these by statistical methods. The Florida current is unusual in the strong slope of the isotherms across it, which results in the subjection of plankton of a common origin to a wide range of conditions at a given depth. Such range is essential to correlation and regression analysis.

Plankton collections made at different times were available from the National Geographic Society, the National Science Foundation, and the Office of Naval Research. These provided full 24-hour net sampling on about twelve occasions at a number of stations between Miami and Bimini. Not all species were present in sufficient numbers on all occasions to allow the full series of stations to be employed in the statistical analysis.

Taking into account the stimuli of temperature, illumination, and pressure, a mathematical model has been developed in the form: T. Factor $(T - T_n) + 1$. Factor $(I - I_n) + P$. Factor $(P - P_n) + P$. Factor (Date) = 0 in which T, I, and P are the ambient temperature, illumination and pressure, and T_n , I_n , and P_n the corresponding values at which the animals would not be stimulated to move vertically. The date enters the equation to allow for a seasonal rhythm, probably resulting from successive broods. The four factors equate responses to unit stimuli to the! several stimuli. It is assumed that the plankton are found at a level where their several responses are balanced. A population of a species is composed of individuals with widely differing responses, this being one of the significant facts which has emerged from the study. Separate analyses are therefore made at 10 percent intervals through the population. There are also marked diurnal rhythms in both the factors, so it is necessary to make separate analyses at 4-hour intervals.

Initially, eighteen species of copepods were counted, but only twelve proved to be present in adequate numbers throughout the year. Determination of the factors was made by partial

regression analysis. Because the form of the mathematical model was being modified as the study progressed, the work was carried out on a Frieden calculator and was very time consuming. Now that the form of the equation has been standardized, any further work undertaken can be simplified by the use of an electronic computer. Solving for the nulls called for a special analogue computer which we built for the purpose.

Analysis of the factors is completed, and that of the nulls will be complete in a few weeks. Although the ONR contract has expired, the study is continuing, since, as expected, it is opening up a number of very interesting lines. We have shown that zooplankton behaviour is much more complicated than had previously been supposed and cannot be simply accounted for in terms of either a single factor or a simple response to that factor. At least three environmental factors are important: individual animals vary in their response to stimuli from these factors and there are both diurnal and seasonal variations in these responses. In addition, of course, there are differences between species. By inserting the values of factors and nulls which we have determined into the equation, we have compared the predicted with observed plankton distributions under a range of hydrographic conditions, and we have obtained a reasonable agreement. We have also made predictions using typical values, and, by varying one factor or null at a time, shown the relative sensitivity of the copepods to temperature, illumination, and pressure. A point which emerges from this phase is the importance of pressure as a controlling environmental factor. Until now, this has received very little consideration.

There appears to be a general trend in behaviour pattern from deep- to shallow-living animals; and as soon as the last analyses are complete, we should be in a better position to understand what underlies the different levels at which different species characteristically live.

In earlier work on the relation between the deep scattering layer and euphausids, it was shown that the geographical range of a species, and its relative abundance within this range, could best be explained in terms of the conditions at their deep day levels combined with those at their shallower night levels. Both must be satisfactory for the survival of the animals. The constants we have determined for the twelve copepods should afford a more refined method of testing this. In anticipation of such a test, we have been accumulating information on the distribution of the various species. Surprisingly little is known about this, and there are no quantitative charts of their North Atlantic distribution. Gaps in the charts which we are compiling have been considerably reduced by the results available from Russian collections made during the IGY. We hope to be able to follow up the comparison in the future.

Several papers have been published on the work (Moore, 1953, 1955, Moore *et al.*, 1953, Moore and Corwin, 1957, Moore and O'Berry, 1957) and others are in preparation.

References

Hersey. J. B. and H. B. Moore. 1948. Progress report on scattering layer observations in the Atlantic Ocean. Trans. Amer. Geophys. Un., 29, 341- 354.

Miller, S. M., Moore, E. B., and R. R. Kvammen. 1953. Plankton of the Florida current. 1. General conditions. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf and Caribbean, 2, 3, 465-485.

Moore, H. B. 1953. Plankton of the Florida current. II. Siphonophora. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf and Caribbean, 2, 4, 559-573

--- 1955. Variations in temperature and light response within a plankton population. Biol. Bull. Woods Hole, 108, 2, 175-181.

Moore, F. B., and E. G. Corwin. 1957. The effects of temperature, illumination and pressure on the vertical distribution of zooplankton. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf and Caribbean, 6, 4, 273-287.

Moore, E. B., and D. L. O'Berry. 1957. Plankton of the Florida Current. IV. Factors influencing the vertical distribution of some common copepods. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf and Caribbean, 7, 4, 297-315.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. Copies

- 2 Office of Naval Research Biology Branch (Code 446) Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Office of Naval Research Geophysics Branch (Code 416) Washington 25, D. C.
- Director
 Naval Research Laboratory
 Attn.: Technical Information Officer
 Washington 20, D. C.
- Office of Naval Res. Branch Office
 Tenth Floor
 The John Creeper Library Building
 86 East Randolph Street
 Chicago 1, Illinois
- Office of Naval Res. Branch Office346 BroadwayNew York 13, New York
- Office of Naval Res. Branch Office1030 East Green StreetPasadena 1, California
- Office of Naval Res. Branch Office1000 Geary StreetSan Francisco 9. California
- U. S. Navy Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Box 39
 Navy No. 100
 Fleet Post Office
 New York, New York
- ONR Contract Administrator Southeastern Area
 2110 G. Street, N. W.
 Washington 7, D. C.
- Director, Research Division Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.

- 2 Commanding Officer Naval Medical Res. Institute National Naval Medical Center Bethesda 14, Maryland
- Commanding Officer
 U.S. Naval Medical Res. Unit #1
 Building T-19
 University of California
 Berkeley 4, California
- Commanding OfficerU.S. Naval Medical Res. Unit #2APO 6 3San Francisco, California
- 1 Commanding Officer U.S. Naval Medical Res. Unit #3 Box "E" - APO 231 c/o Postmaster New York City, New York
- Officer in Charge U.S. Naval Medical Res. Unit #4 Naval Training Center Great Lakes, Illinois
- Officer in Charge
 U.S. Naval Medical Research Lab.
 Submarine Base
 New London, Connecticut
- Commanding Officer
 U.S. Naval Medical Field Res. Lab.
 Camp Lejeune, North Carolina
- Commanding Officer
 U.S. Naval School of Aviation
 Medicine, Naval Air Station
 Pensacola, Florida
- DirectorAviation Medical Acceleration Lab.'U.S. Naval Air Development CenterJohnsville, Pennsylvania

- Superintendent
 Aeronautical Medical Equip. Lab.
 U.S. Naval Air Experimental Station
 Naval Air Material Center
 Naval Base
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Commander
 Naval Air Test Center
 Aero Medical Branch of Service Test
 Patuxent River, Maryland
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Naval Unit
 Biological Div., Chemical Corps
 Camp Detrick, Frederick, Maryland
- Radiological Medical Director
 U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Lab.
 Naval Supply Depot
 San Francisco 24, California
- Commanding Officer
 Medical Research Department
 U.S. Navy Mine Defense Lab.
 Panama City, Florida
- Chief of Medicine and Res. Director Metabolic Research Facility
 S, Naval Hospital
 Oakland 14, California
- Chief, Amputee ServiceNavy Prosthetic Research Lab.U. S. Naval HospitalOakland 14, California
- U, S. Navy Hydrographic Office Director, Division of Oceanography Washington 25, D. C.
- Librarian
 S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California
- DirectorU.S. Navy Underwater Sound Lab.Fort TrumbullNew London, Connecticut

- Command4ng Officer
 Naval Ordinance Laboratory
 Attn.: Code OCJ1 White Oak
 Silver Spring, Maryland
- U. S. Navy Bureau of Ships Code 847 D
 Washington 25, D. C.
- U. S. Navy
 Bureau of Ships
 Code 530
 Washington 25, D. C.
- Commanding Officer
 David Taylor Model Basin
 Carderock, Maryland
- 1 Chief
 Bureau of Ordinance
 Attention: REUIC
 Department of the Navy
 Washington 25, D. C.
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Naval Electronics Lab.
 San Diego. California
- Director, Office of Science
 Office of Assist. Sec'y of Defense,
 Research and Engineering
 Department of Defense
 Washington 25, D. C.
- Research and Development
 Div. Office of the Chief Signal Officer
 Department of the Army
 Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Office of the Surgeon General Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C.
- Director
 Division of Medical Research
 Chemical Warfare Laboratories
 Army Chemical Center
 Edgewood, Maryland

- Headquarters, U.S. Air Force Washington 25, D. C. Attention: AFDRD - HF
- Commander, Air Force
 Office of Scientific Research
 Washington 25, D. C.
 Attn.: Director
 Biological Sciences Division
- 1 Executive Officer
 Arctic, Desert, Tropic Information
 Center
 Research Studies Institute
 Air University
 Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama
- Commandant.U. S. Coast Guard1300 E. Street, N. W.Washington 25, D. C.Attn.: Civil Engineering Division
- The DirectorNational Library of Medicine7th St. & Independence Ave.,S. Washington 25, D.
- 10 Armed Services Technical Information Agency Documents Service Center Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia
- Director
 Division of Biology and Medicine
 Atomic Energy Commission
 Manatomic Building
 Washington, 25, D. C.
- 1 Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.
- DirectorDivision of Grants and FellowshipsNational Institutes of HealthBethesda 14, Maryland

- Director
 National Museum
 Smithsonian Institution
 Washington 25, D. C.
- Chief
 Branch of Fishery Biology
 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
 Department of the Interior
 Washington 251 D. C.
- Director
 Pacific Oceanic Fishery Invest.
 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
 P. O. Box 3830
 Honolulu, Hawaii
- Director, Naples Zoological Sta. c/o Commanding Officer Office of Naval Res. Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York
- Director American Museum of Natural History Central Park West at 79th St. New York 24, New York
- DirectorNarragansett Marine LaboratoryUniversity of Rhode IslandKingston, Rhode Island
- Director
 Woods Hole Oceanographic Inst.
 Woods Hole, Massachusetts
- Director
 Marine Biological Laboratory
 Woods Hole, Massachusetts
- DirectorScripps Institution of OceanographyUniversity of CaliforniaLa Jolla, California
- Dr. Lionel JaffeDepartment of BiologyBrandeis UniversityWaltham 54, Massachusetts

- Dr. George A. Bartholomew, Jr Department of Zoology University of California Los Angeles 24, California
- Dr. Demorest Davenport Department of Zoology University of California Santa Barbara College Goleta. California
- Dr. Warren 7. Gross
 Division of Life Science
 University Qf California
 Riverside, California
- Dr. Daniel Mazia
 Department of Zoology
 University of California
 Berkeley 4, California
- Dr. Nello Pace Department of Physiology University of California Berkeley 4, California
- Dr. Robert Meister
 Department of Physics
 Catholic University
 Washington 17, D. C.
- Dr. R. R. Ronkin Department of Biological Sciences University of Delaware Newark, Delaware
- Dr. Paul A. Meglitsch Department of Biology Drake University Des Moines 11, Iowa
- Dr. C. G. BookhoutMarine Laboratory, Duke UniversityBeaufort, North Carolina
- 1 Dr. J. G. Pratt
 The Parapsychology Laboratory
 Duke University
 Durham, North Carolina

- Dr. K. M. Wilbur
 Department of Zoology
 Duke University
 Durham, North Carolina
- Dr. E. Lowe Pierce Department of Biology University of Florida Gainesville, Florida
- Dr. Donald R. Griffin
 The Biological Laboratories
 Harvard University
 16 Divinity Avenue
 Cambridge 38, Massachusetts
- Dr. Luigi ProvasoliHaskins Laboratories305 East 43rd StreetNew York 17, New York
- 1 Dr. G. S. Fraenkel
 Department of Entomology
 University of Illinois
 Urbana, Illinois
- Dr. Emil Witschi
 Department of Zoology
 State University of Iowa
 Iowa City, Iowa
- Dr. Vincent G. Dethier Department of Biology Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland
- Dr. Frank A. Brown, Jr.
 Department of Biology
 Northwestern University
 Evanston, Illinois
- 1 Dr. Bradley T. Scheer Department of Zoology University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon
- Office of Naval Res. Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston 10, Massachusetts

- Dr. W. D. McElroy McCollum-Pratt Institute Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland
- Dr. C. P. RichterThe Johns Hopkins Hospital601 North BroadwayBaltimore 5, Maryland
- 1 Dr. Robert R. Sokal Department of Entomology University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas
- Dr. R. W. Krauss
 Department of Botany
 University of Maryland
 College Park, Maryland
- Drs. E. R. Baylor and F. E. Smith Department of Zoology University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan
- Dr. A. H. Brown.
 Department of Botany
 University of Minnesota
 Minneapolis, Minnesota
- Dr. Otto H. Schmitt
 Department of Physics
 University of Minnesota
 Minneapolis, Minnesota
- Dr. Thomas Goreau University College of the West Indies Physiology Department Mona, St. Andrew Jamaica, British West Indies
- Dr. Ross F. Nigrelli
 New York Zoological Society
 New York Aquarium
 185th Street and Southern Blvd.,
 New York 60, New York
- Dr. Henry L. YeagleyDepartment of PhysicsPennsylvania State UniversityUniversity Park, Pennsylvania

- Dr Willis E. Pequegnat Department of Zoology Pomono College Claremont, California
- Dr. F H. Johnson
 Biology Department
 Princeton University
 Princeton, New Jersey
- Dr. Walter E. Marin
 Biology Department
 University of Soutbern California
 University Avenue
 Los Angeles 7, California
 - Dr. Paul R. Saunders Dept. of Pharmacology and Toxicology School of Medicine University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California
- Dr, J. RappaportDepartment of ZoologyUniversity of VirginiaCharlottesville, Virginia
- Dr. Donald S. Farner
 Department of Zoology
 College of Washington
 Pullman, Washington
- A. P. Richards
 William F. Clapp Laboratories
 Duxbury, Massachusetts
- Dr. D. Hasler
 Department of Zoology
 University of Wisconsin
 Madison 5, Wisconsin
- Dr. William L. SternSchool of ForestryYale UniversityNew Haven, Connecticut
- 1 Dr. T. H. Waterman
 Department of Zoology
 Yale University
 New Haven, Connecticut

- Dr. I. Pittendrigh
 Department of Biology
 Princeton University
 Princeton, New Jersey
- ONR Resident Representative Georgia Institute of Technology 840 Cherry Street Atlanta 13, Georgia

OTHER AGENCIES

- Director
 Fisheries Research Board of Canada
 Ottawa, Canada
- The Library
 Fisheries Research Board of Canada
 Biological Station
 Nanaimo, W. C.
- Director of FisheriesDivision of FisheriesBeach Road, Sea PointCape Town, South Africa