

1 **What Caused the Significant Increase in Atlantic Ocean Heat Content**
2 **Since the mid-20th Century?**

3
4
5
6
7 Sang-Ki Lee^{1,2}, Wonsun Park³, Erik van Sebille⁵, Molly Baringer², Chunzai Wang², David B.
8 Enfield^{1,2}, Steven Yeager⁴, and Ben Kirtman⁵

9 ¹Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Studies, University of Miami, Miami,
10 Florida, USA

11 ²Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, NOAA, Miami Florida, USA

12 ³Leibniz Institute of Marine Sciences (IFM-GEOMAR), Kiel, Germany

13 ⁴National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

14 ⁵Rosenstiel School for Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Miami, Florida,
15 USA

16
17 Revised to Geophysical Research Letters

18 July 2011

19
20
21
22 Corresponding author address: Dr. Sang-Ki Lee, NOAA/AOML, 4301 Rickenbacker Causeway,
23 Miami, FL 33149, USA. E-mail: Sang-Ki.Lee@noaa.gov.

1 **Abstract**

2 As the upper layer of the world ocean warms gradually during the 20th century, the inter-
3 ocean heat transport from the Indian to Atlantic basin should be enhanced, and the Atlantic
4 Ocean should therefore gain extra heat thanks to the increased upper ocean temperature of the
5 inflow via the Agulhas leakage. Consistent with this hypothesis, instrumental records indicate
6 that the Atlantic Ocean has warmed substantially more than any other ocean basin since the mid-
7 20th century. A surface-forced global ocean-ice coupled model is used to test this hypothesis and
8 to further find that the observed warming trend of the Atlantic Ocean since the 1950s is largely
9 due to an increase of the inter-ocean heat transport from the Indian Ocean. Further analysis
10 reveals that the increased inter-ocean heat transport is not only caused by the increased upper
11 ocean temperature of the inflow but also and more strongly by the increased Agulhas Current
12 leakage, which is augmented by the strengthening of the wind stress curl over the South Atlantic
13 and Indian subtropical gyre.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Recently updated and bias-corrected instrumental records indicate that the heat content of the
3 Atlantic Ocean in the upper 700 m has substantially increased during the 1970s – 2000s at a rate
4 ($\sim 2.0 \times 10^{22}$ J per decade) almost matching that of the Pacific Ocean ($\sim 1.5 \times 10^{22}$ J per decade)
5 and Indian Ocean ($\sim 0.5 \times 10^{22}$ J per decade) combined [Levitus et al., 2009], even though the
6 Atlantic Ocean covers less than 20% of the global ocean in surface area. Climate model
7 experiments with and without anthropogenic greenhouse forcing have shown that the observed
8 warming of the global ocean since the mid-20th century could be largely attributed to the
9 anthropogenic greenhouse effect [Barnett et al. 2001; Levitus et al., 2001; Reichert et al. 2002;
10 Barnett et al. 2005]. However, a question still remains as to why the warming trend in the
11 Atlantic Ocean is substantially larger than that in other ocean basins. This is also an important
12 question for our understanding of past, present and future climate variability on regional and
13 global scales because, for instance, tropical precipitation and Atlantic hurricane activity in the
14 21st century could be affected by a differential inter-ocean warming [e.g., Xie et al., 2010; Lee et
15 al., 2011].

16 Deep convective mixing over the North Atlantic sinking regions could maintain the subpolar
17 North Atlantic sea surface temperatures (SSTs) relatively insensitive to the anthropogenic
18 greenhouse effect, and thus decreasing the longwave heat loss at the sea surface and increasing
19 the radiative heating associated with anthropogenic greenhouse gases (back radiation – upward
20 longwave radiation). However, this hypothesis appears to be inconsistent with the observed
21 cooling trend of the subpolar North Atlantic Ocean in the upper 1500 m during the 1950s - 1990s
22 [e.g., Lozier et al. 2010].

1 Perhaps, the answer to this conundrum can be found in the global overturning circulation,
2 that is large scale ocean circulation that connects the Pacific, Indian and Southern Oceans to deep
3 convection in the North Atlantic sinking regions, carrying with it heat, freshwater and carbon, etc
4 [Broecker, 1987]. As the upper layer of the world ocean warms gradually, the inter-ocean heat
5 transport via the global overturning circulation should increase given that the radiative heating
6 associated with the anthropogenic greenhouse effect is more or less uniform over the world
7 ocean [Palmer et al. 2007]. The increased inter-ocean heat transport should further warm the
8 Atlantic Ocean since the Atlantic basin is characterized by advective heat convergence (i.e., the
9 northward ocean heat transport at 30°S in the South Atlantic is always positive) due to the
10 Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC), which is the Atlantic component of the
11 global overturning circulation. The Atlantic warming should continue until the deep layer of the
12 Atlantic Ocean fully adjusts to the increased radiative heating over the world ocean and exports
13 the warm water out of the basin at depth or until the AMOC weakens due to the increased
14 buoyancy in the North Atlantic sinking regions. This hypothesis seems to be in line with Palmer
15 and Haines [2009] who used historical hydrographic observations from 1970 to 2000 to make
16 quantitative estimates of the contribution to ocean heat content changes from the ocean heat
17 transport convergence (estimated by deepening of the reference isotherm of 14°C) versus surface
18 heating (estimated by warming above the 14°C isotherm). They found that the ocean heat
19 transport convergence dominates only in the Atlantic basin. Grist et al. [2010] used a high-
20 resolution global ocean model forced with historical surface meteorological fields to find a
21 consistent result.

22 Changes in the strength and spatial structure of the AMOC could also modulate the ocean
23 heat transport convergence in the Atlantic basin, and thus may have contributed to the observed

1 warming of the Atlantic Ocean since the mid-20th century. However, it is difficult to attribute
2 the increased Atlantic Ocean heat content to AMOC variability because there is no reliable long-
3 term instrumental record of the AMOC. It appears that an ocean model-based reconstruction is
4 likely to be our best chance for assessing the history of AMOC in the 20th century because the
5 relatively long time series of estimated surface flux fields, which constrain ocean-only (or ocean-
6 ice coupled) models, are available from atmospheric reanalysis products. Therefore, in the
7 following sections, we use a series of global ocean-ice model simulations to explore why the
8 Atlantic Ocean has warmed much more than any other ocean basin since the mid-20th century.

9
10 **2. 20th Century Reanalysis (20CR)**

11 The paucity of observational hydrographic data makes it a challenge for proper initialization
12 a global model at the mid-20th century. An alternative approach is to start an ocean model
13 simulation sufficiently earlier than the mid-20th century. This will finesse issues involving the
14 model initialization. However, none of the surface-forced ocean model studies so far has been
15 simulated with the surface forcing prior to the mid-20th century because the surface forcing data,
16 which are typically derived from atmospheric reanalysis products such as NCEP-NCAR
17 reanalysis, are limited to the last 50 - 60 years. Recently, the newly developed NOAA-CIRES
18 20th Century Reanalysis (20CR) has been completed [Compo et al., 2010]. The 20CR provides
19 the first estimate of global surface momentum, heat and freshwater fluxes spanning the late 19th
20 century and the entire 20th century (1871-2008) at daily temporal and 2° spatial resolutions.

21
22 **3. Model Experiments**

1 The global ocean-ice coupled model of the NCAR Community Climate System Model
2 version 3 (CCSM3) forced with the 20CR is used as the primary tool in this study. The ocean
3 model is a level-coordinate model based on the Parallel Ocean Program (POP). It solves the
4 three-dimensional primitive equations under hydrostatic and Boussinesq approximations. The
5 ocean model is divided into 40 vertical levels. The ice model, the NCAR Community Sea Ice
6 Model version 5, is a dynamic-thermodynamic ice model that computes local growth rates of sea
7 ice due to vertical conductive, radiative and turbulent fluxes. Both the ocean and ice models have
8 320 longitudes and 384 latitudes on a displaced pole grid with a longitudinal resolution of about
9 1.0 degrees and a variable latitudinal resolution of approximately 0.3 degrees near the equator.
10 See Doney et al. (2007) for more detailed descriptions about the CCSM3 ocean-ice model
11 (CCSM3_POP hereafter).

12 To spin up the model, the fully coupled (atmosphere-land-ocean-ice) CCSM3 control
13 experiment is performed for 700 years with the pre-industrial climate condition of the 1870s. The
14 700th year output of the CCSM3 spin-up run is then used to initialize the CCSM3_POP, which is
15 further integrated for 200 more years using the daily 20CR surface flux fields for the period of
16 1871-1900. To incorporate the impact of atmospheric noise, which plays a crucial role in the
17 thermohaline convection and deep-water formation in the North Atlantic sinking regions
18 [personal communication with Ping Chang], during the spin-up the surface forcing fields in each
19 model year are randomly selected from those during 1871 - 1900. In the 200 years of the
20 CCSM3_POP spin-up run, the simulated world ocean heat content in the upper 700m shows no
21 sign of drift after about 150 years. Nevertheless, the 900 years of spin-up may not be long
22 enough for deep oceans to reach a quasi-equilibrium state, if there is any. Therefore, to check
23 and ensure that there is no long-term model drift in the real-time experiments to be described

1 below, the CCSM3_POP spin-up run is continued for additional 138 years, which is referred to
2 as the reference experiment (EXP_REF).

3 After the total of 900 years of spin-up runs, three model experiments are performed as
4 summarized in Table 1. In the control experiment (EXP_CTR), the CCSM3_POP is integrated
5 for 1871-2008 using the real-time daily 20CR surface flux fields. The next two experiments are
6 idealized experiments designed to understand the Atlantic Ocean heat content change with and
7 without the influence of the northward heat transport change at 30°S. The remote ocean warming
8 experiment (EXP_REM) is identical to EXP_CTR except that the surface forcing fields north of
9 30°S are from the daily 20CR surface flux fields for the period of 1871-1900 exactly like
10 EXP_REF, whereas those south of 30°S are as in EXP_CTR. The Atlantic Ocean warming
11 experiment (EXP_ATL) is also identical to EXP_CTR except that the surface forcing fields
12 south of 30°S are from EXP_REF, whereas those north of 30°S are from EXP_CTR. Note that
13 the Atlantic Ocean warms only through anomalous surface heating in EXP_ATL, and only
14 through anomalous northward ocean heat transport at 30°S in EXP_REM, respectively.

15

16 **4. Results**

17 Figure 1a shows the time series of simulated Atlantic Ocean heat content in the upper 700m
18 in reference to the 1871-1900 baseline period obtained from the three model experiments, along
19 with the observed heat content of the Atlantic Ocean. The observed heat content, which is
20 recomputed from Levitus et al. [2009] for the Atlantic basin from 30°S to 75°N, is referenced in
21 such a way that it matches with the simulated heat content in EXP_CTR averaged during 1955-
22 1964 for a better visual comparison with the simulations. The simulated heat content of the
23 Atlantic Ocean in EXP_CTR increases moderately during the first half of the 20th century, after

1 which it increases substantially. During the 1970s – 2000s, it increases by $5 \sim 6 \times 10^{22}$ J. This
2 large increase is reasonably close to the observed Atlantic Ocean heat content increase during the
3 same period [Levitus et al., 2009], suggesting that the model experiment (EXP_CTR) reproduces
4 reasonably well the heat content trend of the Atlantic Ocean after the 1960s. If the northward
5 heat transport in the South Atlantic at 30°S is fixed at its 1871-1900 level (EXP_ATL), the North
6 Atlantic Ocean heat content increases by only $\sim 2 \times 10^{22}$ J during the 1970s - 2000s; thus the local
7 variable surface fluxes alone cannot explain the observed North Atlantic Ocean heat content
8 increase. On the other hand, if the northward heat transport in the South Atlantic at 30°S is
9 allowed to vary in real time while keeping the surface fluxes over the Atlantic Ocean at their
10 1871-1900 levels (EXP_REM), the Atlantic Ocean heat content increases by $3 \sim 4 \times 10^{22}$ J during
11 the 1970s – 2000s explaining a large portion of the simulated trend in EXP_CTR. The simulated
12 Atlantic Ocean heat content in EXP_REF does not show any long-term model drift affirming that
13 the increased Atlantic Ocean heat content in EXP_CTR is not an artifact of the model simulation.

14 Figure 1b shows the heat budget terms for the Atlantic Ocean, obtained from EXP_CTR,
15 namely the southward heat transport for the entire water column at 75°N , the northward heat
16 transport for the entire water column at 30°S , and the surface heat flux into the Atlantic Ocean
17 between 30°S and 75°N , all referenced to the 1871-1900 baseline period. The simulated
18 northward heat transport at 30°S is about $0.1 \sim 0.2$ PW larger in the 1960s - 2000s period than in
19 the earlier periods, consistent with the large Atlantic Ocean heat content increase in EXP_CTR
20 (Figure 1a). On the other hand, it is clear that both the surface heat flux and the northward
21 Atlantic Ocean heat transport at 75°N have little impact on the Atlantic Ocean warming since the
22 mid-20th century. Therefore, these model results fully support the hypothesis that the enhanced

1 warming of the Atlantic Ocean during the latter half of the 20th century is largely due to the
2 increased ocean heat transport into the Atlantic basin across 30°S.

3

4 **5. AMOC Variability at 30°S**

5 Dong et al. [2009] showed that the northward heat transport in the South Atlantic near 30°S
6 can be directly scaled with the AMOC strength. Therefore, the baroclinic volume transport (i.e.,
7 AMOC) in the South Atlantic at 30°S and its contribution to the large increase (0.1 ~ 0.2 PW) in
8 the simulated ocean heat transport into the Atlantic basin is explored in this section.

9 Figure 2a shows the time-averaged AMOC during 1979-2008 obtained from EXP_CTR. The
10 simulated maximum strength of the AMOC at 35°N is only 11 Sv ($1\text{Sv} = 10^6 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$), which is
11 smaller than the observed range of 14 ~ 20Sv. Increasing the vertical diffusivity in the model
12 boosts the AMOC strength as shown in earlier studies [e.g., Mignot et al. 2006]. However, since
13 other model features deteriorate with the increased vertical diffusivity, the vertical diffusivity is
14 not increased in this study. Despite the smaller maximum strength, the overall spatial structure of
15 the simulated AMOC is quite close to that derived from observations [e.g., Lumpkin and Spear
16 2007].

17 Figure 2b shows the time series of the simulated AMOC index (maximum overturning
18 streamfunction) at 30°S. It clearly shows that the AMOC at 30°S increases after the 1940s,
19 suggesting that the increased northward heat transport in the South Atlantic at 30°S (Figure 1b) is
20 linked to the increased baroclinic volume transport at 30°S. The AMOC at 30°S in EXP_REM
21 has a similar increase as in EXP_CTR (Figure S1a). On the other hand, the AMOC at 30°S in
22 EXP_ATL (Figure S1b) does not show a large long-term trend. These results strongly suggest
23 that the processes within the Atlantic Ocean do not cause the increased AMOC strength at 30oS

1 after the 1940s in EXP_CTR. In other words, the AMOC increase at 30°S is pushed from the
2 outside, and not pulled from the inside.

3 The effect of the increased AMOC versus the increased upper ocean temperature in the South
4 Atlantic at 30°S can be assessed by using the model output fields from EXP_CTR. Using only
5 the Eulerian-mean component of the meridional flow (i.e., eddy-induced component is not used)
6 and the ocean temperature, we find that the purely thermal effect (i.e., only due to ocean
7 temperature changes) accounts for about 20% increase of the heat transport at 30°S between
8 1871-1900 and 1979-2008 periods, whereas the purely dynamic effect (i.e., only due to
9 meridional flow changes) accounts for more than 120% increase, clearly suggesting that the
10 upper ocean thermal change of the inflow is insufficient to explain the large increase (0.1 ~ 0.2
11 PW) in the simulated ocean heat transport into the Atlantic basin.

12

13 **6. The Role of South Atlantic and Indian Subtropical Gyres**

14 The main conclusion so far is that the observed large warming of the Atlantic basin during
15 the latter half of the 20th century is mainly due to the increased ocean heat transport into the
16 Atlantic basin across 30°S, and that the anomalous northward ocean heat transport at 30°S is
17 caused not only by the increased upper ocean temperature at 30°S but also and more strongly by
18 the increased baroclinic volume transport (i.e., AMOC) at 30°S.

19 Remote mechanical and thermal forcing appear to strengthen the AMOC and associated heat
20 transport at 30°S. In order to understand the mechanisms, it is helpful to explore the simulated
21 pathways of the northward heat transport in the Atlantic Ocean. Shown in Figure 3a are the
22 simulated northward heat transport (contours) and heat transport vector (vectors) averaged in the
23 upper 3000m for 1979-2008, obtained from EXP_CTR. It clearly shows that the main pathway

1 of heat into the South Atlantic in this model originates in the Indian Ocean. The key roles played
2 in global climate by the Indian-Atlantic inter-ocean exchange have long been recognized [e.g.,
3 Biastoch et al., 2008; Beal et al., 2011]. The warm water that leaks from the Indian Ocean, the
4 so-called Agulhas leakage, into the South Atlantic may affect the strength of the AMOC, both on
5 decadal advective time scales and on faster Rossby wave time scales [e.g., Van Sebille and Van
6 Leeuwen, 2007]. As shown in Figure 3a, the warm water leaked from the Indian Ocean moves
7 northwestward along the South Atlantic subtropical gyre until it reaches the western boundary,
8 then continues its northward excursion through the cross-hemispheric western boundary current
9 system. The warm water finally arrives at the subpolar Atlantic via the Loop Current, Gulf
10 Stream and North Atlantic Current, respectively. In reality most Agulhas leakage is carried by
11 Agulhas rings, mesoscale features that are not well represented at this model's resolution [e.g.
12 Beal et al., 2011 and references therein]. Nevertheless, the pathways of the heat transport shown
13 in Figure 3a are very similar to the advective pathways of mass seen in both high-resolution
14 models and surface drifters [Van Sebille et al., 2011].

15 Figure 3b is identical to Figure 3a except that it shows the difference in the simulated
16 northward heat transport (contours) and heat transport vector (vectors) between 1979-2008 and
17 1871-1900 periods. The pathways of the anomalous northward ocean heat transport are
18 surprisingly similar to those of the mean northward ocean heat transport (Figure 3a). It is also
19 clear that the inter-ocean heat transport from the Indian Ocean is increased. This agrees with
20 recent studies in the Agulhas region, on the boundary between the Indian and Atlantic Oceans,
21 which show an increase in both upper ocean temperature [Rouault et al., 2009] and inter-ocean
22 transport [Biastoch et al., 2009] in recent decades. The anomalous anticyclones of the barotropic
23 stream function in the South Atlantic and Indian Ocean between 50°S and 30°S (Figure S2)

1 further indicate that both the South Atlantic subtropical gyre and Indian Ocean subtropical gyre
2 are strengthened. As shown in Figure 3c, this is consistent with the observed westerly wind
3 anomalies over the Southern Ocean and the associated strengthening of the wind stress curl over
4 the South Atlantic and Indian subtropical gyres, as suggested by earlier high- and low-resolution
5 modeling studies [Biaostoch et al., 2009; Sijp and England, 2009]. Note that Ekman transport does
6 not directly contribute to the increased AMOC at 30°S since the zonal wind stress at 30°S is
7 nearly unchanged (Figure 3c). Since the westerly wind anomalies over the Southern Ocean are
8 largely linked to the Southern Annular Mode (SAM), it appears that the increased AMOC at
9 30°S in EXP_CTR is ultimately caused by the increasing trend of the SAM since the mid-20th
10 century. The cause of the SAM trend is not the focus of this study, but one popular hypothesis
11 involves the Antarctic ozone losses with important contributions from anthropogenic
12 chlorofluorocarbons [e.g., Thompson and Solomon, 2002].

13

14 **7. Summary and Discussions**

15 This study uses a series of global ocean-ice model simulations, which are forced with the
16 newly constructed 20CR for the period of 1871-2008, to argue that the observed warming trend
17 of the Atlantic Ocean during the latter half of the 20th century is largely due to the concurrent
18 increase of the northward ocean heat transport in the South Atlantic at 30°S. It is shown here that
19 the anomalous northward ocean heat transport at 30°S is caused by the increased inter-ocean heat
20 transport from the Indian Ocean. In agreement with earlier studies, the increased inter-ocean heat
21 transport is augmented by the strengthening of the wind stress curl since the mid-20th century
22 over the South Atlantic and Indian subtropical gyres in association with the observed increasing
23 trend of the SAM.

1 There are some limitations in this study. In particular, the CCSM3_POP used as the main
2 tool in this study is not an eddy-resolving resolution model. Therefore, it is important that the
3 major findings of this study are further tested with higher resolution models. In particular, eddy-
4 resolving resolution (~ 0.1 deg) models are required to properly simulate the role of eddies in the
5 Agulhas leakage region [Beal et al. 2011]. A related issue is the eddy-driven heat and mass
6 transports in the Southern Ocean, which are not well represented in this study [e.g., Hallberg and
7 Gnanadesikan, 2006]. A recent observational study [Böning et al. 2008] showed that the
8 Antarctic Circumpolar Current and the meridional overturning circulation in the Southern Ocean
9 is insensitive to the intensification of Southern Hemisphere westerly winds over the past decades
10 because the eddy-driven heat and mass transports largely compensate for the increased Ekman
11 heat and mass transports in the Southern Ocean. Farneti and Delworth [2010] also showed that
12 the AMOC change induced by changes in Southern Hemisphere westerly winds is much reduced
13 in an eddy-resolving coupled climate model in comparison to that in a coarse-resolution model.
14 Therefore, here we acknowledge that the simulated AMOC increase at 30°S during the 1950s –
15 2000s could be an overestimate. Nevertheless, in our model simulation, the increased AMOC at
16 30°S is not directly forced by Ekman transport from the Southern Ocean because the zonal wind
17 stress at 30°S is unchanged (Figure 3c). Instead, it is indirectly induced by the increased wind
18 stress curl that strengthened the South Atlantic and Indian subtropical gyres and thus enhanced
19 the inter-ocean volume transport from the Indian Ocean. A recent study that uses an eddy-
20 resolving model indeed reported an increased volume transport from the Indian Ocean to the
21 South Atlantic Ocean during the 1970s – 2000s [Biastoch et al., 2009], supporting the overall
22 conclusions of this study.

23

1 Obviously, there remain many crucial questions. One such question is the role of basin-scale
2 low-frequency climate variability such as the Atlantic multidecadal oscillation (AMO) and the
3 Pacific decadal oscillation on the differential inter-ocean warming. In particular, the AMO,
4 which is arguably resulted from the natural oscillation of the AMOC and driven in the North
5 Atlantic sinking regions [e.g., Knight et al., 2005; Lee and Wang, 2010] may have directly
6 contributed to the rapid warming of the Atlantic Ocean since the 1950s. As shown in Figure S3a,
7 the simulated North Atlantic Ocean heat content in EXP_ATL exhibits a low-frequency
8 multidecadal signal somewhat mimicking the observed AMO, almost perfectly reproducing that
9 of EXP_CTR prior to the 1960s. In EXP_REM, however, the multidecadal signal during the
10 1920s – 1950s, which is clearly visible in both EXP_CTR and EXP_ATL is completely missing.
11 The absence of this multidecadal signal in EXP_REM clearly suggests that the multidecadal
12 swing in EXP_CTR prior to the 1960s is caused by processes internal to the Atlantic Ocean.
13 During the 1960s – 2000s, on the other hand, remote processes seem to have contributed more
14 than internal processes to the large increase in the North Atlantic heat content. The simulated
15 South Atlantic Ocean heat content in EXP_CTR remains unchanged until the 1960s, after which
16 it increases monotonically. As in EXP_CTR, the South Atlantic Ocean heat content in
17 EXP_REM is also characterized by a monotonic increase after the 1960s. In the case of
18 EXP_ATL, however, there is no apparent change in the South Atlantic heat content throughout
19 the 20th century. These results lead to a conclusion that remote processes mainly forced the
20 ocean heat content increase in both North and South Atlantic during the 1960s - 2000s in
21 EXP_CTR with a moderate contribution by internal processes in the North Atlantic.

22

1 **Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful
2 comments and suggestions, which led to a significant improvement of the paper. This study was
3 motivated and benefited from the AMOC discussion group of the research community at
4 UM/RSMAS and NOAA/AOML. We wish to thank Igor Kamenkovich and all the participants
5 who led the AMOC discussion group during the past year. We acknowledge helpful suggestions
6 from Ping Chang. This work was supported by grants from the National Oceanic and
7 Atmospheric Administration's Climate Program Office and by grants from the National Science
8 Foundation.

9

10

References

11 Barnett, T. P., D. W. Pierce, and R. Schnur (2001) Detection of anthropogenic climate change in
12 the World's Oceans, *Science*, **292**, 270-274, doi:10.1126/science.1058304.

13 Barnett, T. P., D. W. Pierce, K. M. AchutaRao, P. J. Gleckler, B. D. Santer, J. M. Gregory, and
14 W. M. Washington (2005) Penetration of human-induced warming into the World's Oceans,
15 *Science*, **309**, 284-287, doi:10.1126/science.1112418.

16 Beal, L. M., W. P. M. De Ruijter, A. Biastoch, R. Zahn, and members of SCOR/ WCRP/IAPSO
17 Working Group 136 (2011) On the role of the Agulhas system in ocean circulation and
18 climate, *Nature*, **472**, 429-472, doi:10.1038/nature09983.

19 Biastoch, A., C. W. Boening, and J. R. E. Lutjeharms (2008) Agulhas leakage dynamics affects
20 decadal variability in Atlantic overturning circulation, *Nature*, **456**, 489–492.

21 Biastoch, A., C. W. Böning, F. U. Schwarzkopf, and J. R. E. Lutjeharms (2009) Increase in
22 Agulhas leakage due to poleward shift in the southern hemisphere westerlies, *Nature*, **462**,
23 495-498, doi:10.1038/nature08519.

- 1 Böning, C. W., A. Dispert, M. Visbeck, S. R. Rintoul, and F. U. Schwarzkopf (2008) The
2 response of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current to recent climate change, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 864-
3 869, doi:10.1038/ngeo362.
- 4 Broecker, W. S. (1987) The biggest chill, *Natural History*, **96**, 74-82.
- 5 Compo, G. P., and collaborators (2011) The twentieth century reanalysis project. *Quarterly J.*
6 *Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, **137**, 1-28. doi: 10.1002/qj.776.
- 7 Doney, S. C., Steve Yeager, G. Danabasoglu, W. G. Large, J. C. McWilliams (2007)
8 Mechanisms governing interannual variability of upper-ocean temperature in a global ocean
9 hindcast simulation. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **37**, 1918–1938.
- 10 Dong, S., S. L. Garzoli, M. O. Baringer, C. S. Meinen and G. J. Goni (2009) Interannual
11 variations in the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation and its relationship with the net
12 northward heat transport in the South Atlantic. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L20606,
13 doi:10.1029/2009GL039356.
- 14 Farneti, R., and T. L. Delworth (2010) The role of mesoscale eddies in the remote oceanic
15 response to altered Southern Hemisphere winds. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **40**,
16 doi:10.1175/2010JPO4480.1.
- 17 Grist, J. P., and collaborators (2010) The roles of surface heat flux and ocean heat transport
18 convergence in determining Atlantic Ocean temperature variability. *Ocean Dynam.*, **60**, 771-
19 790, doi:10.1007/s10236-010-0292-4.
- 20 Hallberg, R.W. and A. Gnanadesikan (2006) The role of eddies in determining the structure and
21 response of the wind-driven Southern Hemisphere overturning: Initial results from the
22 Modeling Eddies in the Southern Ocean Project, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **36**, 2232-2252.

1 Knight, J. R., R. J. Allan, C. K. Folland, M. Vellinga, and M. E. Mann (2005) A signature of
2 persistent natural thermohaline circulation cycles in observed climate, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
3 32, L20708, doi:10.1029/2005GL024233.

4 Lee, S.-K. and C. Wang (2010) Delayed advective oscillation of the Atlantic thermohaline
5 circulation, *J. Climate*, **23**, 1254-1261.

6 Lee, S.-K., D. B. Enfield and C. Wang (2011) Future impact of differential inter-basin ocean
7 warming on Atlantic hurricanes, *J. Climate*, **24**, 1264-1275.

8 Levitus, S., J. I. Antonov, T. P. Boyer, and C. Stephens (2000) Warming of the world ocean.
9 *Science*, **287**, 2225-2229, doi:10.1126/science.287.5461.2225.

10 Levitus, S., J. I. Antonov, J. Wang, T. L. Delworth, K. W. Dixon, and A. J. Broccoli (2001)
11 Anthropogenic warming of earth's climate system, *Science*, **287**, 2225-2229,
12 doi:10.1126/science.287.5461.2225.

13 Levitus, S., J. I. Antonov, T. P. Boyer, R. A. Locarnini, H. E. Garcia, and A. V. Mishonov
14 (2009) Global ocean heat content 1955–2008 in light of recently revealed instrumentation
15 problems, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L07608, doi:10.1029/2008GL037155.

16 Lozier, M. S., V. Roussenov, S. Mark, C. Reed and R. G. Williams (2010) Opposing decadal
17 changes for the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Nature Geosci.* **3**, 728-
18 734.

19 Lumpkin, R. and K. Speer (2007) Global ocean meridional overturning. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **37**,
20 2550-2562.

21 Mignot, J., A. Levermann, and A. Griesel (2006) A decomposition of the Atlantic meridional
22 overturning circulation into physical components using its sensitivity to vertical diffusivity.
23 *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **36**, 636–650, doi: 10.1175/JPO2891.

- 1 Palmer, M. D., K. Haines, S. F. B. Tett, and T. J. Ansell (2007), Isolating the signal of ocean
2 global warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**, L23610, doi:10.1029/2007GL031712.
- 3 Palmer M. D. and K. Haines (2009) Estimating oceanic heat content change using isotherms, *J.*
4 *Climate*, **22**, 4953-4969.
- 5 Reichert, B. K., R. Schnur, and L. Bengtsson (2002) Global ocean warming tied to
6 anthropogenic forcing, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **29**, doi:10.1029/2001GL013954.
- 7 Rouault, M., P. Penven, and B. Pohl (2009), Warming in the Agulhas Current system since the
8 1980's, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L12, 602.
- 9 Sijp, W. P., and M. H. England (2009) Southern hemisphere westerly wind control over the
10 ocean's thermohaline circulation. *J. Climate*, **22**, 1277-1286.
- 11 Thompson, D. W. and S. Solomon (2002) Interpretation of recent southern hemisphere climate
12 change, 296, 895-899, doi:10.1126/science.1069270.
- 13 Van Sebille, E., and P. J. Van Leeuwen (2007) Fast northward energy transfer in the Atlantic due
14 to Agulhas rings, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **37**, 2305–2315.
- 15 Van Sebille, E., L. M. Beal, and W. E. Johns (2011) Advective time scales of Agulhas leakage to
16 the North Atlantic in surface drifter observations and the 3D OFES model, *J. Phys.*
17 *Oceanogr.*, **41**, 1026-1034.
- 18 Xie, S.-P., C. Deser, G.A. Vecchi, J. Ma, H. Teng, and A.T. Wittenberg (2010) Global warming
19 pattern formation: Sea surface temperature and rainfall. *J. Climate*, **23**, 966-986.


20
21
22
23

1 Table 1. Summary of the surface forcing fields prescribed for the four model experiments.

Experiments	Surface Forcing Fields Prescribed
EXP_REF	Forced for 138 years with the forcing fields in each model year alternated with the 20CR forcing fields of a random year during 1871 - 1900.
EXP_CTR	Forced for 1871-2008 using the real-time 20CR.
EXP_REM	Same as in EXP_CTR south of 30°S; Same as in EXP_REF north of 30°S
EXP_ATL	Same as in EXP_REF south of 30°S; Same as in EXP_CTR north of 30°S


2

3



1
 2 **Figure 1.** (a) Simulated Atlantic Ocean heat content change in the upper 700m in reference to
 3 the 1871-1900 baseline period obtained from the four model experiments. The thick black line in
 4 (a) is the observed heat content of the Atlantic Ocean, which is recomputed from Levitus et al.
 5 [2009] for the Atlantic basin from 30°S to 75°N. (b) Simulated heat budget terms for the Atlantic
 6 Ocean obtained from EXP_CTR, all referenced to the 1871-1900 baseline period.

CCSM3_POP (EXP_CTR): AMOC




1

2 **Figure 2.** (a) Time-averaged AMOC during 1979-2008 and (b) time series of the simulated
3 AMOC index (maximum overturning streamfunction) at 30°S obtained from EXP_CTR. The
4 green line in (b) is obtained by performing a 11- year running average to the AMOC index.


5

6

7




1
 2 **Figure 3.** (a) Simulated pathways of the northward heat transport (contours) and heat transport
 3 vector (vectors) in the upper 3000 m for 1979-2008 obtained from EXP_CTR. The unit is 1×10^9
 4 W/m. (b) Differences in the simulated northward heat transport (contours) and heat transport
 5 vector (vectors) between 1979-2008 and 1871-1900 periods, obtained from EXP_CTR. Red
 6 color indicates northward heat transport, while blue color indicates southward heat transport. (c)
 7 Globally averaged zonal wind stress for 1871-1900 and for 1979-2008 periods, obtained from the
 8 20CR.



1
 2 **Figure 1.** (a) Simulated Atlantic Ocean heat content change in the upper 700m in reference to
 3 the 1871-1900 baseline period obtained from the four model experiments. The thick black line in
 4 (a) is the observed heat content of the Atlantic Ocean, which is recomputed from Levitus et al.
 5 [2009] for the Atlantic basin from 30°S to 75°N. (b) Simulated heat budget terms for the Atlantic
 6 Ocean obtained from EXP_CTR, all referenced to the 1871-1900 baseline period.

CCSM3_POP (EXP_CTR): AMOC




1

2 **Figure 2.** (a) Time-averaged AMOC during 1979-2008 and (b) time series of the simulated
3 AMOC index (maximum overturning streamfunction) at 30°S obtained from EXP_CTR. The
4 green line in (b) is obtained by performing a 11- year running average to the AMOC index.

5


6

7



1
 2 **Figure 3.** (a) Simulated pathways of the northward heat transport (contours) and heat transport
 3 vector (vectors) in the upper 3000 m for 1979-2008 obtained from EXP_CTR. The unit is 1×10^9
 4 W/m. (b) Differences in the simulated northward heat transport (contours) and heat transport
 5 vector (vectors) between 1979-2008 and 1871-1900 periods, obtained from EXP_CTR. Red
 6 color indicates northward heat transport, while blue color indicates southward heat transport. (c)
 7 Globally averaged zonal wind stress for 1871-1900 and for 1979-2008 periods, obtained from the
 8 20CR.

CCSM3_POP: AMOC Index at 30°S



1


2 **Figure S1.** Time series of the simulated AMOC index (maximum overturning stream function)

3 at 30°S obtained from (a) EXP_REM and (b) EXP_ATL. Green lines are obtained by performing

4 a 11- year running average.

5


6



1
 2 **Figure S2.** (a) Simulated barotropic streamfunction averaged for the 1979-2008 period obtained
 3 from EXP_CTR. (b) Difference in the simulated barotropic streamfunction between 1979-2008
 4 and 1871-1900 periods, obtained from EXP_CTR. The unit is Sv ($10^6 m^3 s^{-1}$). (c) Globally
 5 averaged zonal wind stress for 1871-1900 and for 1979-2008 periods, obtained from the 20CR.

6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13

CCSM3_POP: ATL OCN Heat Content



1
2 **Figure S3.** Simulated (a) North and (b) South Atlantic Ocean heat content changes in the upper
3 700 m in reference to the 1871-1900 baseline period obtained from the four model experiments.
4 The thick black lines in (a) and (b) are the observed heat contents recomputed from Levitus et al.
5 [2009] for the North (equator - 75°N) and South Atlantic (30°S - equator), respectively.