2019 Basin-scale HWRF (HWRF-B) An HFIP Real-Time Demonstration Project on WCOSS

PRELIMINARY RESULTS FOR HURRICANE DORIAN (AL052019)

Pls: Ghassan Alaka¹ & Biju Thomas^{2,3}

Collaborators: Avichal Mehra², Sundararaman Gopalakrishnan¹, Xuejin Zhang¹, Zhan Zhang^{2,3}, Bin Liu^{2,3}, Jason Sippel¹, Henry Winterbottom^{2,3}, Lew Gramer^{1,4}

¹NOAA Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory
²NOAA Environmental Modeling Center
³I.M. Systems Group, Inc. (IMSG)
⁴UM Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Studies



HWRF-B Configuration

HWRF-B configuration is identical to HWRF, except for:

- 1. Large, static outermost domain that covers NATL, EPAC, and CPAC
- 2. Multiple sets of movable multi-level nests
- 3. RTOFS initialization for POM
- 4. No TDR-based hybrid data assimilation



Track and Intensity Verification for DORIAN (HWRF-B vs. HWRF)







- HWRF-B has *lower track errors* than HWRF at 24-96 h
- HWRF-B has *lower absolute intensity errors* at 48-120 h
- HWRF-B *intensity bias is improved* at 12-120 h

Track and Intensity Skill Verification for DORIAN (HWRF-B vs. HWRF)

Track Skill vs. HWRF

Intensity Skill vs. HWRF



- Ignore skills at 0-h
- HWRF-B track is more skillful than HWRF from 24-84 h
- HWRF-B intensity is *more skillful* than HWRF from 48-120 h
 - Degradation at earlier lead times may be related to the lack of hybrid DA in HWRF-B

Along-Track and Cross-Track Verification for DORIAN (HWRF-B vs. HWRF)

- Along-track errors are very similar for HWRF-B and HWRF.
- HWRF-B cross-track errors are *lower* than HWRF at most lead times.

Wind Radii Verification for DORIAN (HWRF-B vs HWRF)

MODEL FORECAST - RADIUS OF MAXIMUM WIND (RMW) ERRORS (NM) VERIFICATION FOR DORIAN(2019) 50 HBAS: HWRF-B WIND (RWW) ERRORS (NW) HWRF: HWRF OPER MUNIXAN Ъ 10 RADIUS 03 12 24 108 120 36 60 72 84 96 48 #CASE 49 Forecast lead time (hr) NOAA/NCEP/EMC one project

MODEL FORECAST - AVERAGE 64KT RADIUS ERRORS (NM) VERIFICATION FOR DORIAN(2019)

MODEL FORECAST - AVERAGE 34KT RADIUS ERRORS (NM) VERIFICATION FOR DORIAN(2019)

- Radius of maximum wind and 34KT radius errors for HWRF-B are better than HWRF, especially at later lead times.
- 64KT radius errors are comparable for HWRF-B and HWRF

Lifetime Track Forecasts for DORIAN (HWRF-B vs. HWRF)

- HWRF-B was better than HWRF near the Greater Antilles
 - Fewer forecasts with Hispaniola landfall
 - Implications for intensity forecasts
- HWRF-B and HWRF both had a left bias near FL
 - Resulted in more FL landfall forecasts for both models
 - More forecasts were offshore for HWRF-B

Lifetime Intensity Forecasts for DORIAN (HWRF-B vs. HWRF)

- HWRF-B was better than HWRF during the critical intensification period
- HWRF had more weaker systems due to interaction with the Greater Antilles
- Both HWRF-B and HWRF topped out near 125 kt
 - Both missed the maximum intensity

DORIAN Case Study: 2019082706 (HWRF-B vs. HWRF)

HWRF-B intensity and 10m wind structure is more realistic than HWRF

- BEST: VMAX = 130 kt, PMIN = 941 mb
- HWRF-B: VMAX = 115 kt, PMIN = 947 mb
- HWRF: VMAX = 50 kt, PMIN = 999 mb

DORIAN Case Study: 2019082706 (Near-Storm Environment @ 48 h)

- Deep-layer shear is 10-15 kt weaker associated with the ULL to the west of Dorian.
- Dorian location differences may be associated with subtle steering flow differences as well.

DORIAN Case Study: 2019082706 (Vortex Structure @ 48 h)

HWRF-B is more aligned up to 400 mb than HWRF.

• HWRF has a *more distinct tilt to the SE* up to 400 mb.

DORIAN Case Study: 2019082706 (Near-Storm Environment @ 96 h)

- HWRF-B has an anticyclone over Dorian and overall weaker shear than HWRF.
- HWRF is still contending with shear associated with the ULL to the west.

DORIAN Case Study: 2019082706 (Vortex Structure @ 96 h)

HWRF still exhibits a *tilted* vortex structure.

- HWRF-B track is more skillful than HWRF from 24-120 h
 - > 10% improvement on Days 4-5
- HWRF-B intensity is more skillful than HWRF from 48-120 h
 - > 10% improvement on Days 3-4
 - Degradation at earlier lead times may be related to the lack of hybrid DA in HWRF-B

- HWRF-B track is *comparable* to HWRF at most lead times.
- HWRF-B intensity is *less skillful* than HWRF from 0-48 h (< 10%).
- HWRF-B intensity is *more skillful* than HWRF at 96 h and 120 h (> 10%).

EXTRA MATERIAL

- EGRR was the best track model over most lead times
- HWRF-B was the best intensity model over most lead times

These results do not include HAFA or HAFB

HWRF-B versus HWRF composite intensity

