MATURE STAGE EXPERIMENT Science Description

Experiment/Module: Surface Wind and Wave Validation Module

Investigator(s): Heather Holbach, Mark Bourassa (FSU), and Ivan PopStefanija (ProSensing Inc.)

Requirements: Categories 2–5

Plain Language Description: This module will collect data in mature hurricanes to continue improving surface wind speed and rain rate estimates from the Stepped-Frequency Microwave Radiometer (SFMR) and understand how the wind speed observations from the SFMR, flight-level winds, dropsondes, tail-Doppler radar (TDR) and, Imaging Wind and Rain Airborne Profiler (IWRAP) should be averaged and adjusted to statistically consistent 1-minute mean (or sustained) winds. Additionally, surface wave observations will be verified and the extent of 8 ft significant wave height waves will be identified. Improved measurements from the SFMR and understanding how the various aircraft-based wind observations should be averaged and adjusted to statistically consistent 1-minute mean winds along with improving our knowledge of the surface wave field have numerous implications for forecasting and research efforts, such as providing more accurate observations to estimate tropical cyclone (TC) intensity and size along with improved estimates of marine hazards and comparisons for satellite observations. These improvements allow for better watches and warnings for a TC's potential impacts to be provided to emergency managers and the general public and leads to more accurate research results.

Mature Stage Science Objective(s) Addressed:

3) Test new (or improved) technologies with the potential to fill gaps, both spatially and temporally, in the existing suite of airborne measurements in mature hurricanes. These measurements include improved three-dimensional representation of the hurricane wind field, more spatially dense thermodynamic sampling of the boundary layer, and more accurate measurements of ocean surface winds and underlying oceanic conditions [*APHEX Goal 2*]

Motivation: Surface winds in a TC are essential for determining its intensity and size. Over the past several hurricane seasons, surface wind speed estimates from the SFMR, dropsondes, and surface-adjusted flight-level winds in major hurricanes have not been consistent. By obtaining better collocated SFMR, dropsonde, IWRAP, surface wave, and flight-level measurements in major hurricanes we will be able to determine the cause of the inconsistency. Better collocations of the SFMR and dropsondes will lead to improved calibration of the SFMR algorithm for high wind speeds by removing spatial collocation errors related to dropsonde drift.

In addition, there is very little understanding of how the wind data from the SFMR, flight-level, dropsondes, and TDR should be averaged and adjusted to obtain statistically consistent 1-minute mean (or sustained) winds. Some of the discrepancies noticed recently in comparisons of the SFMR, flight-level, and dropsonde winds are likely related to not understanding the time-averaging inherent in the different observations. This lack of knowledge provides a significant

MATURE STAGE EXPERIMENT Science Description

barrier to forecasters analyzing the data to produce the most accurate analyses and forecasts of TC intensity and structure.

The Tropical Analysis and Forecast Branch (TAFB) at the National Hurricane Center (NHC) provides forecasts for significant wave heights of 8 ft and greater in their High Seas product (https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/abouttafbprod.shtml#HSF). These forecasts are important for informing mariners on the sea state conditions they may encounter while traversing the open oceans. The goal of this module is to collect sea state measurements from the Wide Swath Radar Altimeter (WSRA; PopStefanija et al. 2020) to inform the analyses and forecasts produced by TAFB as well as to help calibrate the Wavewatch III and COAMPS-TC ocean wave models. Wave buoy overflights with the WSRA will also provide additional verification of the surface wave data.

Background: Historically, the SFMR served as a research instrument that measured surface wind speeds and rain rates in hurricanes. As early as 1980, data were collected to estimate surface wind speeds from the breaking waves on the sea surface, but they were used in a limited capacity due to various errors. Beginning in 1998-1999, SFMR data were regularly collected on the NOAA P-3 aircraft with reasonable estimates of surface wind speeds, but an algorithm upgrade in the mid-2000s significantly improved the data (Uhlhorn et al. 2007). The SFMR algorithm needed further refinement at the low wind regime and within rainy conditions, which prompted a second algorithm update that became operational in 2015 (Klotz and Uhlhorn 2014). Another algorithm update is under development to improve retrievals at high wind speeds (≥ 100 kts) and heavy rain conditions.

The different technologies and sampling volumes for the SFMR, flight-level, dropsondes, and TDR play a key role in the interpretation of the wind estimates from each of them. For example, dropsondes are point measurements that are being advected by the wind: they respond relatively directly to wind gusts. Whereas the SFMR observes the brightness temperature of the ocean surface with a footprint of about 1 km in diameter and relies on the generation of whitewater on the ocean surface by the wind, integrating the impacts of gusts over that surface area. Even if the temporal averaging were identical and the instruments perfectly calibrated for longer-term averages, the larger spatial averaging makes SFMR observations effectively represent a point observation (like a buoy or dropsonde) at a different temporal averaging scale.

NHC/TAFB has been producing High Seas forecasts since 2003. The lowest threshold used in the forecasts is a significant wave height of 8 ft. This 8 ft threshold is used by cruise ships to identify areas to avoid. Navy vessels generally tend to avoid 12 ft seas and very large cargo ships often will continue traversing seas up to 20 ft. Observations of the sea state are quite sparse in the open ocean, especially in TCs, and are generally limited to observations by buoys. Sea state observations from the WSRA will provide much needed measurements for informing and validating the High Seas forecasts and improving the Wavewatch III and COAMPS-TC ocean wave models.

Goal(s): Improve the wind speed and rain rate estimates obtained by the P-3 SFMRs and understand how the wind speed observations from the SFMR, flight-level winds, dropsondes, and

MATURE STAGE EXPERIMENT Science Description

tail-Doppler radar (TDR) should be averaged and adjusted to statistically consistent 1-minute mean (or sustained) winds. In addition, improve NHC/TAFB's High Seas analyses and forecasts and NOAA's Wavewatch III and COAMPS-TC ocean wave models by collecting and validating WSRA significant wave height data in the environment of TCs.

Hypotheses:

- 1. Collecting directly collocated SFMR and dropsonde data will reduce errors in the high wind speed portion of the SFMR algorithm.
- 2. Collecting SFMR, flight-level, dropsonde, and TDR data over a buoy with a reliable anemometer will allow us to determine how to average and adjust them to be statistically consistent with 1-minute mean winds from buoys.
- 3. Collecting and validating WSRA significant wave height data will improve TAFB's High Seas analyses and forecasts as well as help improve the Wavewatch III and COAMPS-TC ocean wave models.

Objectives:

- 1. Collect collocated SFMR and dropsonde data in high wind regions (surface wind speeds \geq 100 kts) and heavy rain conditions.
- 2. Collect SFMR, flight-level, dropsondes, and TDR data over wind buoys.
- 3. Collect WSRA significant wave height data for all regions of the storm with significant wave heights ≥ 8 ft or over wave buoys.

Aircraft Pattern/Module Descriptions (see Flight Pattern document for more detailed information): The goal of the P-3 SFMR validation module is to collect collocated high wind speed (≥ 100 kts) and high rain rate SFMR and dropsonde data. For the single aircraft P-3 SFMR validation module (P-3 Pattern #1), either P-3 (NOAA42 or NOAA43) can be used. The P-3 will fly inbound through the eyewall releasing a dropsonde targeting the surface wind speed maximum or a sequence of 3 dropsondes released in rapid succession to increase the odds of observing the surface wind speed and/or rain rate maxima. The P-3 will then enter the eye and turn outbound approximately 30–40° azimuthally downwind of the inbound leg to overfly the splash point of the dropsonde. It may be necessary to adjust the azimuthal separation of the inbound and outbound legs to account for eye size, storm strength, and flight altitude. Dropsondes released in hurricanes with smaller eyes tend to drift further downwind than those released in larger eyes. Dropsondes will also drift further downwind in stronger winds, especially if the layer of strong winds is deeper, and dropsondes released from higher altitudes will have more time to drift further downwind. Another option is to wait for the dropsonde(s) to splash, determine the splash location(s), and overfly the exact splash location(s). This will allow for the best spatial collocation; however, the temporal collocation will not be as close.

For the two aircraft P-3 SFMR validation module (P-3 Pattern #2), one P-3 (preferably the P-3 with the WSRA) will fly inbound and release a dropsonde targeting the surface wind speed maximum or a sequence of 3 dropsondes released in rapid succession to increase the odds of

MATURE STAGE EXPERIMENT Science Description

observing the surface wind speed and/or rain rate maxima. The second P-3 (preferably the P-3 with IWRAP) will fly inbound 30°–40° downwind of the first P-3 and approximately 5-6 min later (or the closest temporal spacing possible for safe operations) to overfly the splash location of the dropsonde(s). The two aircraft can be at different altitudes. As in the single P-3 module, it may be necessary to adjust the azimuthal separation of the two P-3s to account for eye size, storm strength, and flight altitude or to identify the actual splash location of the dropsonde(s).

The wind buoy overflight module (P-3 Pattern #3) will collect SFMR, flight-level, dropsonde, and TDR data over a buoy with a reliable anemometer. The aircraft will fly 10-minute legs parallel to the flight-level wind direction in a region of homogenous wind and rain conditions upwind and downwind centered on the buoy's location for approximately 30 minutes, releasing a dropsonde during each pass over the buoy's location. Performing this module in various storm-relative regions of a TC and at different wind speeds would provide insight into the variability of the relationships, allowing us to determine the spatial and/or temporal averaging of aircraft and dropsonde data needed to average and adjust the various observations to be statistically consistent with 1-minute mean winds from buoys. This module would preferably be performed in a region of stratiform precipitation to obtain wind speeds from the TDR.

The high seas module (P-3 Pattern #4) can be conducted with any of the standard P-3 patterns. Data collection for the WSRA requires a flight radar altitude between 8 and 12 kft. It may be necessary to descend prior to reaching the planned IP to capture the extent of the 8 ft significant wave height waves. Guidance will be provided by the PIs prior to and during the flight on the estimated extent of the 8 ft significant wave height waves. Ideally, the 8 ft threshold would be captured by the WSRA in each quadrant of the storm. However, if it is not possible to observe the 8 ft threshold in each quadrant due to time constraints then it would be preferred to obtain those estimates in at least the front right (storm-relative) quadrant.

Wave buoy overflights (P-3 Pattern #5) should also occur at a flight radar altitude between 8 and 12 kft. The ideal pattern for overflying the buoy is to fly straight and level for 250 seconds (4 minutes and 10 seconds) on the approach to the buoy. Upon reaching the buoy, the aircraft would make a 90° turn (either direction) and fly straight and level for an additional 250 seconds away from the buoy. The 90° turn provides a test for any dependencies of the ocean surface wave data on the aircraft heading and propagation direction of the dominant wave. While the 90° turn is preferred, it is not necessary to provide valuable data for wave validation efforts. The maximum distance from a buoy for good comparison is estimated to be about 50 km. However, this is dependent on the buoy's relative location within the TC. It is also desirable to overly Saildrones or Sofar buoys when possible.

Links to Other Mature Stage Experiments/Modules:

The Surface Wind and Wave Validation Module can be flown in conjunction with the following Mature Stage experiments: Gravity Wave, Ocean Winds, Rainband Complex Survey, RICO SUAVE, and TC Diurnal Cycle.

MATURE STAGE EXPERIMENT Science Description

Analysis Strategy:

The SFMR and dropsonde data collected during the P-3 SFMR validation module will be directly compared to identify any inconsistencies in the SFMR algorithm at high wind speeds and heavy rain conditions. These collocated pairs will also be compared to the SFMR and dropsonde data used for the previous algorithm development, which were not directly spatially collocated, to identify the errors that may be present when using those data. Any IWRAP data collected with the overflight of the dropsondes will also be used to investigate the dropsonde and SFMR wind data. WSRA data collected in tandem will be used to analyze the surface wave field to identify any surface characteristics that may impact the SFMR observations. It is important that the aircraft maintain as straight and level of flight as possible when collecting the collocated data, so that no additional errors are introduced related to the conditions of flight.

The time series of wind observations collected for the aircraft instruments (flight-level, SFMR, and TDR) over the buoys will first be averaged over different time periods until the variance of the aircraft observations match the variance of 10-minute sustained winds from the buoy. It is necessary to start with matching to the variance of the 10-minute sustained (mean) buoy winds as the NDBC buoy data in the NCEI collection only provides averaged 5-second gust, maximum 1minute mean, and 10-minute mean winds. Maximum 1-minute means are equivalent to a gust about the 10-minute mean, so we must use the 10-minute mean in order to eventually get information that can be used for 1-minute sustained winds. The averaging period for the aircraft data that matches the variance of 10-minute buoy means will inform us on the averaging required to retrieve the aircraft observation's equivalent of a buoy's 10-minute mean. Since the sampling volume from the aircraft is much longer than it is wide (along-track distance is much larger than across-track distance), we can divide that averaging interval by 10 to calculate the equivalent of 1-minute means and variance from the aircraft data that are equivalent to that of 1-minute sustained winds from a buoy. The averaging period determined in this manner will be the averaging period needed to make the sampling volume of the instruments match. The averaged aircraft data will then be compared to the buoy data (via triple collocation) to determine adjustment factors required to match the means. The dropsondes released over the buoys will serve as verification that the buoy anemometer is functioning properly by obtaining wind speeds in a similar range as the dropsondes.

The WSRA significant wave height measurements sampled at a 55-second rate are transmitted off the P-3 aircraft during flight to NHC/TAFB and incorporated into their High Seas analyses and forecasts. The data will also be analyzed to identify characteristics of the typical sea state for storms of various sizes, strengths, and translation speeds. The extent of various significant wave heights, starting at 8 ft, will be determined for each flight and compared to identify/validate relationships between significant wave height, storm size, strength, and translation speed. Data from any overflights of wave buoys will be directly compared to the WSRA surface wave data for validation of the observations. The results of the analysis will lead to a better understanding of the sea state in TCs, which will be used by forecasters and modelers to improve forecasts and coupling of the sea state in TCs.

MATURE STAGE EXPERIMENT Science Description

References:

- Klotz, B. W., and E. W. Uhlhorn, 2014: Improved Stepped Frequency Microwave Radiometer tropical cyclone surface winds in heavy precipitation. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **31**, 2392–2408.
- PopStefanija, I., C. W. Fairall, and E. J. Walsh, 2020: Mapping of Directional Ocean Wave Spectra in Hurricanes and Other Environments. *IEEE Trans Geosci. Rem. Sens.*, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2020.3042904.
- Uhlhorn, E. W., P. G. Black, J. L. Franklin, M. Goodberlet, J. Carswell, and A. S. Goldstein, 2007: Hurricane Surface Wind Measurements from an Operational Stepped Frequency Microwave Radiometer. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **135**, 3070–3085.