# 1 Revised for **Journal of Geophysical Research**



5 Yuanlong  $Li<sub>1</sub><sup>1,*</sup>$  Weiqing Han,<sup>1</sup> Toshiaki Shinoda,<sup>2</sup> Chunzai Wang,<sup>3</sup> Ren-Chieh Lien,<sup>4</sup> James N. Moum,<sup>5</sup> Jih-Wang Wang<sup>6</sup> 6



13 September 2013

 $\overline{a}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> **Corresponding Author Address:** Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado, Campus Box 311, Boulder, CO 80309, USA**;** Email: yuanlong.li@colorado.edu

14 **Abstract** 

15 The effects of solar radiation diurnal cycle on intraseasonal mixed layer variability 16 in the tropical Indian Ocean during boreal wintertime Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) 17 events are examined using the HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model. Two parallel 18 experiments, the main run and the experimental run, are performed for the period of 19 2005-2011 with daily atmospheric forcing except that an idealized hourly shortwave 20 radiation diurnal cycle is included in the main run. The results show that the diurnal 21 cycle of solar radiation generally warms the Indian Ocean sea surface temperature (SST) 22 north of 10°S, particularly during the calm phase of the MJO when sea surface wind is 23 weak, mixed layer is thin, and the SST diurnal cycle amplitude (*dSST*) is large. The 24 diurnal cycle enhances the MJO-forced intraseasonal SST variability by about 20% in 25 key regions like the Seychelles–Chagos Thermocline Ridge (SCTR; 55°-70°E, 12°-4°S) 26 and the central equatorial Indian Ocean (CEIO; 65°-95°E, 3°S-3°N) primarily through 27 nonlinear rectification. The model also well reproduced the upper-ocean variations 28 monitored by the CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign between September-November 29 2011. During this period, *dSST* reaches 0.7°C in the CEIO region, and intraseasonal SST 30 variability is significantly amplified. In the SCTR region where mean easterly winds are 31 strong during this period, diurnal SST variation and its impact on intraseasonal ocean 32 variability are much weaker. In both regions, the diurnal cycle also has large impact on 33 the upward surface turbulent heat flux  $Q_T$  and induces diurnal variation of  $Q_T$  with a 94 peak-to-peak difference of  $O(10 \text{ W m}^2)$ .

#### 35 **1. Introduction**

#### 36 **1.1. MJO and Indian Ocean Intraseasonal Variability**

37 As the major mode of intraseasonal variability of the tropical atmosphere, the 38 Madden–Julian Oscillation (MJO) [*Madden and Julian*, 1971] has a profound climatic 39 impact at global scale [e.g., *Zhang*, 2005]. The MJO is characterized by large-scale 40 fluctuations of atmospheric deep convection and low-level winds at periods of 20–90 41 days, and propagates eastward at a mean speed of 5 m  $s^{-1}$  over warm areas of the 42 tropical Indian and Pacific Oceans. At the lowest order, the MJO was considered to be 43 an intrinsic convection-wind coupling mode of the tropical atmosphere [e.g., *Knutson*  44 *and Weickmann*, 1987; *Wang and Rui*, 1990; *Zhang and Dong*, 2004]. Recently, the role 45 of air-sea interaction in the MJO dynamics is receiving increasing interest. As a major 46 source of heat and moisture, the mixed layer of the tropical Indian Ocean (TIO) plays an 47 important role in the initiation and development of the MJO convection. Modeling 48 studies demonstrate that including air-sea coupling on intraseasonal timescale can 49 improve the simulation [e.g., *Wang and Xie*, 1998; *Waliser et al.*, 1999; *Woolnough et*  50 *al.*, 2001; *Inness and Slingo*, 2003; *Inness et al.*, 2003; *Sperber et al.*, 2005; *Zhang et al.*, 51 2006; *Watterson and Syktus*, 2007; *Yang et al.*, 2012] and forecast [e.g., *Waliser*, 2005; 52 *Woolnough et al.*, 2007] of the MJO behaviors. However, because the MJO-related 53 air-sea coupling processes are not well understood, realistically representing the MJO is 54 still a challenging task for the state-of-the-art climate models [e.g., *Lin et al.*, 2006; 55 *Zhang et al.*, 2006; *Lau et al.*, 2012; *Sato et al.*, 2012; *Xavier et al.*, 2012]. Given that 56 the tropical ocean affects the atmosphere through mainly sea surface temperature (SST), 57 investigating the TIO intraseasonal SST variability and associated upper-ocean

58 processes will help improve our understanding of air-sea interaction processes on





82 phase, time scale, spatial structure, and propagation paths [e.g., *Saji et al.*, 2006; *Izumo*  83 *et al.*, 2010].

84 The mechanism that controls intraseasonal SST variability is, however, still under 85 debate. While some studies emphasize the importance of wind forcing and ocean 86 dynamics [*Harrison and Vecchi*, 2001; *Saji et al.*, 2006; *Han et al.*, 2007; 87 *Vinayachandran and Saji*, 2008], others show the significant effects of shortwave 88 radiation [*Duvel et al.*, 2004; *Duvel and Vialard*, 2007, *Vialard et al.*, 2008; *Zhang et al.*, 89 2010; *Jayakumar et al.*, 2011; *Jayakumar and Gnanaseelan*, 2012]. To improve our 90 understanding of the intraseasonal TIO SST variability and its feedbacks to the MJO 91 convection, further investigation is needed to address other involved processes, such as 92 the diurnal cycle's effects.

#### 93 **1.2. Diurnal Cycle of SST**

94 Due to the large day/night difference in solar radiation, SST exhibits 95 large-amplitude diurnal variation [*Sverdrup et al.*, 1942]. Since the 1960s, large diurnal 96 warming ( $dSST$ ) with magnitude  $> 1^{\circ}C$  has been frequently detected by in-situ and 97 satellite observations throughout the world's oceans [e.g., *Stommel*, 1969; *Halpern and*  98 *Reed*, 1976; *Deschamps and Frouin*, 1984*; Price et al.*, 1986; *Stramma et al.*, 1986]. In 99 the tropics, diurnal warming can reach as large as 2-3°C under clear-sky, low-wind 100 condition [e.g., *Flament et al.*, 1994; *Webster et al.*, 1996; *Soloviev and Lukas*, 1997; 101 *Stuart-Menteth et al.,* 2003; *Kawai and Wada*, 2007; *Kennedy et al.*, 2007; *Gille*, 2012]. 102 During the calm (suppressed) phase of the MJO, such condition is satisfied in the TIO. 103 The large daytime ocean warming at the calm phase induces an increase of the net 104 surface heat flux toward the atmosphere by  $> 50 \text{ W m}^2$  [*Fairall et al.*, 1996], which can





145 DYNAMO (Dynamics of the MJO; http://www.eol.ucar.edu/projects/dynamo/) is a 146 US program that aims to advance our understanding of processes key to MJO initiation 147 over the Indian Ocean and therefore improve the MJO simulation and prediction. As the 148 first step, the DYNAMO joined the international field program of CINDY (Cooperative 149 Indian Ocean Experiment on Intraseasonal Variability) in 2011 to collect in-situ 150 observations [*Zhang et al.*, 2013]. The CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign [*Yoneyama et*  151 *al.*, 2013] took place in the central equatorial Indian Ocean (CEIO) during September

152 2011 - March 2012. These field observations will serve as constraints and validation for 153 modeling studies. Its atmospheric component includes two intensive sounding arrays, a 154 multiple wavelength radar network, a ship/mooring network to measure air-sea fluxes, 155 the marine atmospheric boundary layer, and aircraft operations to measure the 156 atmospheric boundary layer and troposphere property variations. The oceanic 157 component includes an array of surface buoys and conductivity–temperature–depth 158 (CTD) casts from research vessels in the CEIO. During the monitor period, active 159 episodes of large-scale convection associated with wintertime MJOs were observed to 160 propagate eastward across the TIO [*Shinoda et al.*, 2013b]. The synchronous records of 161 oceanic variability during MJO events are used here to validate the model simulations 162 and examine the potentially crucial upper-ocean processes in the MJO initiation.

### 163 **1.4. Present Research**

164 The present study has two objectives. First, by including the diurnal cycle of solar 165 radiation in the forcing fields of a high-resolution ocean general circulation model 166 (OGCM), we aim to examine the effects of the diurnal cycle on intraseasonal variability 167 of the surface mixed layer in the TIO. Particular attention will be paid to the SCTR and 168 CEIO regions, which are important regions for wintertime MJO initiation and 169 propagation. Second, we specifically investigate how the  $Q_{SW}$  diurnal cycle influences 170 intraseasonal oceanic variability and feedbacks to surface heat flux during the 171 CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign. The results are expected to complement our 172 knowledge of air-sea interaction associated with MJO dynamics and hence contribute to 173 the DYNAMO program. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 174 outlines the OGCM configurations and experiment design. Section 3 provides a

175 comprehensive comparison of the model results with available in-situ/satellite

176 observations. Section 4 reports our major research findings. Finally, Section 5 provides

177 the summary and discussion.

#### 178 **2. Model and Experiments**

### 179 **2.1. Model Configuration**

180 The OGCM used in this study is the HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM)

181 version 2.2.18, in which isopycnal, sigma (terrain-following), and *z*-level coordinates

182 are combined to optimize the representation of oceanic processes [*Bleck*, 2002;

183 *Halliwell*, 2004; *Wallcraft et al.*, 2009]. In recent researches HYCOM has been

184 successfully used to investigate a wide range of ocean processes at various timescales in

185 the Indo-Pacific and tropical Atlantic Oceans [e.g., *Han et al.*, 2006, 2007, 2008; *Yuan* 

186 *and Han*, 2006; *Kelly et al.*, 2007; *Kara et al.*, 2008; *Duncan and Han*, 2009; *Metzger et* 

187 *al.*, 2010; *Nyadjro et al.*, 2012; *Shinoda et al.*, 2012; *Wang et al.*, 2012a, 2012b]. In this

188 study, HYCOM is configured to the tropical and subtropical Indo-Pacific basin

189 (30°E-70°W, 40°S-40°N) with a horizontal resolution of 0.25°×0.25°. Realistic marine

190 bathymetry from the National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) 2′ digital data are used

191 with 1.5°×1.5° smoothing. The smoothed bathymetry is carefully checked and

192 compared with the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) [*Smith and* 

193 *Sandwell*, 1997] in the Indonesian Seas to ensure the important passages of the

194 throughflow are well resolved. No-slip conditions are applied along continental

195 boundaries. At the open-ocean boundaries near 40°S and 40°N, 5° sponge layers are

- 196 applied to relax the model temperature and salinity fields to the World Ocean Atlas 2009
- 197 (WOA09) annual climatological values [*Antonov et al.*, 2010; *Locarnini et al.*, 2010].



### 215 **2.2. Forcing Fields**

216 The surface forcing fields of HYCOM include 2-m air temperature and humidity,

217 surface net shortwave and longwave radiation (*QSW* and *QLW*), precipitation, 10-m wind 218 speed, and wind stress. The turbulent heat flux  $Q_T$ , which consists of the latent and 219 sensible heat fluxes, are not treated as external forcing but automatically estimated by 220 the model with wind speed, air temperature, specific humidity, and SST, using the

221 Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Response Experiment (COARE 3.0) algorithm [*Fairall et*  222 *al.*, 2003; *Kara et al.*, 2005]. In our experiments, the 2-m air temperature and humidity 223 are adopted from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) 224 Re-analysis Interim (ERA-Interim) products [*Dee et al.*, 2011], which have a 0.7° 225 horizontal resolution available for the period of 1989–2011. 226 For the surface shortwave and longwave radiation, we use the daily, geostationary 227 enhanced 1° product from Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) 228 [*Wielicki et al.*, 1996; *Loeb et al.*, 2001] of the National Aeronautics and Space 229 Administration (NASA) for the period of March 2000—November 2011. Given that 230 *QSW* is crucial in modeling intraseasonal and diurnal ocean variability, the quality of the 231 CERES product should be validated. Figure 1 compares the CERES  $Q_{SW}$  with in-situ 232 measurements by the Research Moored Array for African–Asian–Australian Monsoon 233 Analysis and Prediction (RAMA) mooring arrays [*McPhaden et al.*, 2009] at three sites 234 in the TIO. The CERES data agree well with RAMA measurements with the correlation 235 coefficients exceeding 0.90 at all the three buoy sites. The mean values and standard 236 deviation (STD) from CERES are close to RAMA measurements, but the CERES STD 237 values are smaller by about 15%. Comparisons are also performed for the Pacific Ocean 238 with the Tropical Atmosphere Ocean/Triangle Trans-Ocean Buoy Network 239 (TAO/TRITON) buoys, and we obtained similar degree of consistency. 240 The 0.25°×0.25° Cross-Calibrated Multi-Platform (CCMP) ocean surface wind 241 vectors available during July 1987—December 2011 [*Atlas et al.*, 2008] are used as 242 wind forcing. Zonal and meridional surface wind stress,  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$ , are calculated from 243 the CCMP 10-m wind speed |*V*| using the standard bulk formula 244  $\tau_x = \rho_a c_d |V| u, \ \tau_y = \rho_a c_d |V| v,$  (1)



### 256 **2.3. Experiments**

257 The model is spun-up for 35 years from a state of rest, using WOA09 annual 258 climatology of temperature and salinity as the initial condition. Datasets described 259 above are averaged into monthly climatology and linearly interpolated onto the model 260 grids to force the spin-up run. Restarting from the already spun-up solution, HYCOM is 261 integrated forward from January 1 2005 to November 30 2011. Two parallel 262 experiments are performed, the main run (MR) and the experimental run (EXP), using 263 daily atmospheric forcing fields. The only difference between the MR and EXP is that in 264 the MR an idealized hourly diurnal cycle is imposed on  $Q_{SW}$ , which is assumed to be 265 sinusoidal and energy-conserving [*Shinoda and Hendon*, 1998; *Schiller and Godfrey*, 266 2003; *Shinoda*, 2005],

267 
$$
Q_{SW}(t) = \begin{cases} \pi Q_{SW0} \sin[2\pi(t-6)/24] & \text{for } 6 \le t \le 18\\ 0 & \text{for } 0 \le t \le 6 \text{ or } 18 \le t \le 24 \end{cases}
$$
 (2)



### 278 **3. Model/Data Comparison**

### 279 **3.1. Comparisons with In-Situ and Satellite Observations**

280 To validate the model performance, we compare the output of HYCOM MR with

281 available in-situ and satellite observations. During the 2006-2011 period, the wintertime

282 mean SST from HYCOM MR is quite similar to that from the TRMM Microwave

- 283 Instrument (TMI) data [*Wentz et al.*, 2000] (Figures 2a and 2b). In the TIO, both the
- 284 SCTR (55°-70°E, 12°-4°S) and CEIO (65°-95°E, 3°S-3°N) regions are covered by weak
- 285 winds and characterized by high SST (> 29°C) values during winter, which are well
- 286 simulated by the model. Major discrepancies occur in the western tropical Pacific,
- 287 where the simulated warm pool  $(SST > 28^{\circ}C$  region) is larger in size than TMI
- 288 observations. The modeled sea surface salinity (SSS) pattern also agrees with the in-situ
- 289 observational dataset of the Grid Point Value of the Monthly Objective Analysis



298 The wintertime mean MLD values from the MOAA-GPV and HYCOM MR agree 299 well in the two key regions (Figure 3). They show consistent large-scale spatial patterns 300 over the Indian Ocean. Here, the MLD is defined as the depth at which the potential 301 density difference ∆*σ* from the surface value is equal to equivalent temperature decrease 302 of 0.5°C [*de Boyer Montégut et al.*, 2004],

303 
$$
\Delta \sigma = \sigma(T_0 - 0.5, S_0, P_0) - \sigma(T_0, S_0, P_0),
$$
 (3)

304 where  $T_0$ ,  $S_0$ , and  $P_0$  are temperature, salinity, and pressure at the sea surface,

305 respectively. Apparent discrepancies occur in the southeastern TIO, Arabian Sea, and 306 BoB, where the modeled MLD is systematically deeper than the observations by about 307 10-20 m. Possible causes for this difference are uncertainties in the forcing fields that 308 may result in errors in oceanic stratification and mixing and model parameterization of 309 turbulent mixing.

310 The seasonal cycle and interannual variations of modeled SST averaged over the 311 Indian Ocean, also agree with TMI data (Figure 4a). There is a mean warming bias of  $312 \sim 0.26$ °C during the experiment period (2005-2011), which arises mainly from boreal 313 summer (May-October) SST bias. During winter, however, the model and satellite



# 336 **3.2. Comparison with CINDY/DYNAMO Field Campaign Data**



359 The upper-ocean thermal structure and its temporal evolution are reasonably 360 simulated by HYCOM during the DYNAMO field campaign at two buoy locations in



#### 377 **4.1.1. Impacts on the Mean Fields**

378 To isolate the impact of the diurnal cycle of solar radiation, we examine the

379 difference solution MR–EXP. Figure 8a shows the wintertime mean daily SST

- 380 difference, ∆SST, where the symbol "∆" denotes the difference between MR and EXP
- 381 for daily mean variables. Consistent with previous studies based on 1-D model solutions
- 382 (section 1.2), the diurnal cycle leads to a general surface warming and thus increases the
- 383 mean SST in the TIO north of 10°S and the western equatorial Pacific. In the SCTR and

384 CEIO regions, the warming effect exceeds  $0.1^{\circ}$ C, and the mean MLD is shoaled by 385 around 4-8 m (Figure 8b). In the BoB and central-eastern Indian Ocean south of 10°S, 386 MLD is deepened. In most areas, deepened (shoaled) MLD corresponds to decreased 387 (increased) SST. This is consistent with the fact that a deepened MLD involves 388 entrainment of colder water and thus leads to SST cooling. An exception is in the 389 central-northern BoB, where the diurnal cycle causes MLD deepening by  $\sim$ 10 m but 390 SST increasing. This may be attributable to the strong haline stratification near the 391 surface due to monsoon rainfall and river discharge, which leads to the existence of the 392 barrier layer and temperature inversion [e.g., *Vinayachandran et al.*, 2002; *Thadathil et*  393 *al.*, 2007; *Girishkumar et al.*, 2011]. As a result, relatively warmer water is entrained to 394 the surface mixed layer by the diurnal cycle. To confirm this point, we checked the 395 mean vertical temperature and salinity profiles in the model output. Comparing to those 396 in the Arabian Sea and the subtropical South Indian Ocean, the mean vertical 397 temperature gradient in the upper 100 m is much smaller in the central-northern BoB. 398 The stratification in this region relies greatly on salinity gradient; and vertical 399 temperature inversions often occur (not shown; also see *Wang et al.* [2012b]). Such 400 vertical temperature distribution favors the rectified warming effect by the diurnal cycle. 401 **4.1.2. Impacts on Intraseasonal SST**  402 To achieve our goal of understanding the diurnal cycle effect on intraseasonal SST

403 variability associated with the MJO, we first apply a 20-90-day Lanczos digital

404 band-pass filter [*Duchon*, 1979] to isolate intraseasonal SST variability. The wintertime

- 405 STD maps of 20-90-day SST from TMI satellite observation and HYCOM MR are
- 406 shown in Figures 9a and 9b. The model, however, generally underestimates the
- 407 amplitude of intraseasonal SST variability. In the SCTR and CEIO regions, the

408 underestimation is about 20%. This model/data discrepancy is attributable to at least two 409 factors. First, TMI measures the skin temperature of the ocean, which has larger 410 intraseasonal variability amplitudes than the bulk layer temperature (see Figure 5). 411 Second, the somewhat underestimation of radiation variability in CERES dataset 412 (Figure 1) and uncertainty in other forcing fields may also contribute. In spite of the 413 quantitative differences, the general patterns of STD from HYCOM MR agree with 414 satellite observation.

415 The diurnal cycle acts to enhance 20-90-day SST variability in most regions of the 416 TIO, as shown by the STD difference between the MR and EXP (Figure 9c). In the 417 SCTR and CEIO regions, the strengthening magnitude exceeds 0.05°C at some grid 418 points. To better quantify such impact, we calculate the ratio of STD difference relative 419 to the STD value in EXP (Figure 9d),

420 
$$
R \, a \, t \, i \, o = \frac{STD_{MR} - STD_{EXP}}{STD_{EXP}} \times 100\%, \tag{4}
$$

421 where *STD<sub>MR</sub>* and *STD<sub>EXP</sub>* are the 20-90-day SST STDs from MR and EXP, respectively. 422 The ratio generally exceeds 15% and occasionally reaches 20%-30% in some areas of 423 the CEIO. In the SCTR, the overall ratio is positive but pattern is incoherent, with 424 positive values separated by negative ones. Similar incoherent patterns are seen in other 425 regions, such as near the Somalia coast and in the central-eastern South Indian Ocean. 426 Such incoherence is likely induced by oceanic internal variability [e.g., *Jochum and*  427 *Murtugudde*, 2005; *Zhou et al.*, 2008], which show differences between MR and EXP 428 due to their nonlinear nature. As a result, the effect of internal variability is contained in 429 the MR-EXP solution.





455 interaction of the MJO, primarily because its rectification on daily mean SST helps to 456 trigger atmospheric convection. To estimate the diurnal cycle impact during different 457 phases of the MJO, we perform a composite analysis based on the 20-90-day OLR 458 values. There are 15 wintertime convection events with 20-90-day OLR reaching 459 minimum (negative) and exceeding 1.5 STD during 2006-2011 in SCTR and 12 events 460 in CEIO region (Figures 10a and 10b), which are used to construct the composite fields. 461 The days with OLR minima are taken as the 0-day phase. Then a 41-day composite 462 MJO event is constructed by simply averaging variables for each day between -20 day 463 and +20 day. Variations of the SCTR region during the composite MJO are shown in 464 Figure 11. The 20-90-day OLR shows two maxima at around the -14 and 14 day, 465 remarking the calm stages of the composite MJO. The total zonal wind (unfiltered) is 466 very weak in the SCTR region (also see Figure 2a) and changes sign with the MJO 467 phases, showing easterlies at the calm stage ( $τ<sub>x</sub> = -0.02$  N m<sup>-2</sup>) and westerlies at the wet 468 stage (the 0 day) ( $\tau_x$  = 0.02 N m<sup>-2</sup>). There is no large difference in wind speed between 469 the calm and wet phases, and therefore the *dSST* magnitude is primarily controlled by 470 insolation. The diurnal cycle induces  $> 0.1$ °C SST increase and ~5 m MLD decrease 471 during the calm stage. During the wet phase, *dSST* is smaller due to the reduced 472 insolation by MJO-associated convective cloud, which results in little rectification on 473 daily mean SST (Figure 11b). The slight deepening of MLD induced by the diurnal 474 cycle (Figure 11c) leads to an entrainment cooling, which also acts to compensate the 475 rectified SST warming by the diurnal cycle. 476 The situation is generally similar in the CEIO except for more prominent changes

477 in wind speed (Figure 11e). The pre-conditioning calm stage is dominated by weak 478 westerlies with  $\tau_x = 0.01 \text{ N m}^2$  at -15 day. At the wet phase the westerly wind stress



# 493 **4.2. Effects during CINDY/DYNAMO Field Campaign**

494 The mean patterns of *dSST*, which is defined as the difference between the MR 495 SST maximum between 10:30-21:00 LST and the preceding minimum between 496 0:00-10:30 LST in each day, along with shortwave radiation  $Q_{SW}$  and zonal wind stress 497 *τx*, during the campaign period (9/16-11/29 2011) are shown in Figure 12. The diurnal 498 warming is large  $(dSST = 0.6 - 0.9^{\circ}\text{C})$  along the equator and small  $(dSST = 0.1 - 0.3^{\circ}\text{C})$ 499 over large areas of the South Indian Ocean (Figure 12a). There is a visible resemblance 500 between *dSST* pattern with mean *QSW* (Figure 12b, which also indicates the diurnal cycle 501 amplitude of  $Q_{SW}$ ) and wind speed (Figure 12c). For example, large *dSST* values (>

502 0.9°C) in the western equatorial basin, the Mozambique Channel, the Sumatra coast, 503 and marginal seas between Indonesia and Australia all correspond to high  $Q_{SW}$  and low 504 wind speed. Both the CEIO and SCTR regions are covered with small  $Q_{SW}$  values ( $\leq$  $240 \text{ W m}^2$ , but the CEIO is dominated by weak westerly winds, while the SCTR is

506 with strong easterly winds, which leads to a much larger *dSST* in the CEIO compared to

507 the SCTR region.

508 During the campaign period, eastward propagation of the 20-90-day OLR signals is 509 quite clear near the equator (Figure 13e) but is less organized within the SCTR latitudes 510 (Figure 13a). Therefore, we define the stages of the MJO events with respective to OLR 511 value in the CEIO region. Two MJO events occurred during the campaign period: MJO 512 1 and MJO 2. The calm stage of MJO 1 (CM-1) is characterized by positive OLR during 513 10/01-10/11 (Figure 13e). It develops during 10/11-10/21 (DV-1), reaches the wet phase 514 (WT-1) during 10/21-10/29, and decays during 10/29-11/8 (DC-1). Our model 515 simulation covers only half of MJO 2: 11/08-11/15 is its calm stage (CM-2); and 516 11/15-11/29 is its developing stage (DV-2). Note that during DV-2, a well-organized strong convection center with 20-90-day OLR < -30 W  $m<sup>2</sup>$  has formed in the SCTR 518 region (Figure 13a), which propagates eastward and reaches the CEIO near the end of 519 our simulation period. While the wind changes associated with MJO 1 are rather 520 disordered, convection center of MJO 2 is accompanied by organized westerly anomaly 521 (relative to the mean easterly wind) over the SCTR (Figure 13b). Daily maps of 522 20-90-day OLR (figures not shown) reveal that convection of MJO 1 is centered north 523 of the equator and shifts northward while propagating eastward, suggesting that MJO 1 524 in October features a typical summertime MJO [e.g., *Waliser et al.*, 2004; *Duncan and*  525 *Han*, 2009; *Vialard et al.*, 2011]. In contrast, MJO 2 is initiated in the SCTR region in

526 November and developed mainly south of the equator, showing typical features of 527 wintertime MJOs.

528 In the map of SSTA for the SCTR, the most evident signal is the seasonal warming 529 from boreal summer to winter (Figure 13c). The only well-organized intraseasonal 530 signature in the SCTR region is the warming during 11/11-11/21 following CM-2 and 531 the subsequent cooling induced by MJO 2. Despite an overall basin-wide warming 532 rectification by the diurnal cycle, ∆SST is in fact negative for the SCTR area during 533 most days in September and October (Figure 13d). There are striking westward 534 propagating signals in ∆SST, which exert visible influence on SSTA (Figure 13c). These 535 signals are likely manifestation of ocean internal variability. In the CEIO, the mean 536 winds are weak westerlies during the campaign period (also see Figure 12c). Hence the 537 eastward propagating westerly wind anomalies following the convection centers (Figure 538 13f) increase the wind speed. The SSTA pattern is clearly dominated by eastward 539 propagating intraseasonal signals associated with the MJOs (Figure 13g), with a visible 540 phase lag of several days to the 20-90-day OLR. Comparing with that in the SCTR 541 region, ∆SST in the CEIO has more systematical contribution to intraseasonal SSTA and 542 amplifies its variability amplitude. For example, large positive ∆SSTs are seen during 543 CM-1, DV-1, CM-2, and DV-2, while near-zero values occurring at WT-1 and DC-1. 544 To reduce the influence of ocean internal variability, we average all the relevant 545 properties over the two regions (Figure 14). In agreement with the preceding analysis, 546 the SCTR region exhibits apparent seasonal transitioning. The easterly winds relax with 547 time (Figure 14a), and SST increases by about  $1.3^{\circ}$ C during the campaign period 548 (Figure 14b). From September to October, the diurnal cycle has a slight cooling impact 549 on daily mean SST. The only period with a positive ∆SST is 11/08-11/16 that follows



574 daily wind speed |*V*| using a standard bulk formula,

575 
$$
Q_L = \rho_a L_E |V| C_L (q_s - q_a)
$$
,  $Q_S = \rho_a C_p |V| C_S (SST - T_a)$ , (5)  
\n576 where  $\rho_a = 1.175$  kg m<sup>-3</sup> is the air density,  $C_L$  and  $C_S$  are respectively latent and sensible  
\n577 heat transfer coefficients and both assigned a value of  $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $L_E = 2.44 \times 10^6$  J kg<sup>-1</sup> is  
\n578 the latent heat of evaporation,  $C_p = 1.03 \times 10^3$  J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> is the specific heat capacity of air,  
\n579  $q_s$  is the saturation specific humidity at the sea surface,  $q_s = q^*(SST)$ , where the asterisk

580 symbol denotes saturation, and  $q_a$  is the specific humidity of the air and a function of

the air temperature  $T_a$ ,  $q_a = RH[q^*(T_a)]$ . The relative humidity RH is set to be a value of

582 80% [*Waliser and Graham*, 1993]. Because *Ta* closely follows the evolution of SST, we

583 cannot use the daily 2-m  $T_a$  of the ERA-Interim to calculate  $Q_L$  and  $Q_S$ . Instead, an

584 empirical estimation method [*Waliser and Graham*, 1993] is used,

$$
T_a = \begin{cases} SST - 1.5^{\circ}C & \text{for } SST < 29^{\circ}C \\ 27.5^{\circ}C & \text{for } SST \ge 29^{\circ}C \end{cases} \tag{6}
$$

586 The 2.4-hour modeled SST from MR are used to calculate the 2.4-hour  $O<sub>T</sub>$  and then 587 averaged into daily  $Q_T$  to get comparison with the daily  $Q_T$  from EXP (Figures 14e and 588 14k). Because wind speed is the same for MR and EXP, the MR-EXP difference in 589 daily  $Q_T(\Delta Q_T)$  is solely induced by SST difference. In the SCTR, the 11/11-11/21 590 warming by the diurnal cycle induces an extra heat of 1-2 W  $m<sup>-2</sup>$ , which occurs at the 591 pre-condition stage of MJO 2. In the CEIO, on the other hand, the diurnal cycle provides a persistent heating of 1-3 W  $m<sup>2</sup>$  for the atmosphere. 593 Comparing with the relatively small correction on daily mean  $Q_T$ , the strong  $Q_T$ 594 diurnal cycle, which is obtained by subtracting the daily mean value, is more striking 595 (Figures 14f and 14l). Due to the large  $dSST$ , the region-averaged  $Q_T$  diurnal difference

596 can reach  $O(10 \text{ W m}^2)$  at the pre-condition stages of the MJO. We have also checked



#### 606 **5. Discussion and Conclusions**

607 Air-sea interactions in the TIO are believed to be essential in the initiation of MJOs 608 [e.g., *Wang and Xie*, 1998; *Waliser et al.*, 1999; *Woolnough et al.*, 2001; *Zhang et al.*, 609 2006; *Lloyd and Vecchi*, 2010], but the upper-ocean processes associated with 610 intraseasonal SST variability in response to MJOs are not sufficiently understood. One 611 of them is diurnal ocean variation, which is observed to be prominent in the TIO by 612 satellite SST measurements, and suggested to be potentially important in amplifying 613 intraseasonal SST fluctuations and triggering atmospheric convection perturbations at 614 the pre-conditioning stage of MJOs [e.g., *Webster et al.*, 1996; *Shinoda and Hendon*, 615 1998; *Woolnough et al.*, 2000, 2001; *Bernie et al.*, 2005, 2007, 2008; *Bellenger et al.*, 616 2010]. In this study, this process is examined with two HYCOM experiments forced 617 with mainly daily satellite-based atmospheric datasets for the period 2005-2011. The 618 diurnal cycle is included by imposing an hourly idealized  $Q_{SW}$  diurnal cycle in MR, and 619 the diurnal cycle effect is quantified by the difference solution, MR-EXP. The

620 experiments also partly cover the time span of CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign. The 621 role of the diurnal cycle in two of the monitored MJO events is particularly evaluated to 622 offer possible contribution for the scientific aim of the DYNAMO program. The model 623 reliability is first validated with available in-situ/satellite observations including buoy 624 measurements of the CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign. The HYCOM MR output 625 agrees reasonably well with observations in both mean-state structure and variability at 626 various timescales. Especially, intraseasonal upper-ocean variations associated with 627 MJOs and the SST diurnal cycle in the TIO are reproduced well.

628 **5.1. Discussion** 

629 The sensitivity of the model representation of the SST diurnal cycle to solar 630 radiation absorption profile was discussed by *Shinoda* [2005]. He showed that *dSST* 631 magnitude is sensitive to the choice of different water types, which in turn influence the 632 amplitude of intraseasonal SSTA. In this study we adopt water type I which represents 633 the clearest water with largest penetrating depth for shortwave radiation [*Jerlov*, 1976] 634 for both experiments. Other water types, such as IA and IB (representing less clear 635 water with smaller penetrating depth), are also used to in other testing experiments to 636 evaluate the sensitivity of our results. Indeed, altering the water type to IA or IB leads to 637 some changes in the diurnal cycle's effect. For example, consistent with the result of 638 *Shinoda* [2005], *dSST* magnitude and its rectification on intraseasonal SSTA are both 639 significantly reduced. Moreover, the mean wintertime ∆SST is changed in magnitude 640 and spatial pattern, with more areas showing negative values. The simulation using 641 water type I achieves the largest degree of consistency with the observation and results 642 of previous studies and is thus adopted in our research. Such sensitivity, however, 643 indicates that to improve the model simulation of the SST diurnal cycle, realistic

644 spatially-varying solar radiation absorption based on Chlorophyll data should be applied 645 instead of using a constant Jerlov water type over the entire model domain.

646 Our interpretation of the diurnal cycle effect suffers from the noising influence of 647 ocean internal variability throughout the analysis, which urges us to provide a particular 648 evaluation of such impact in this section. Figure 15 is the map of root-mean-squared 649 (rms) SST difference between MR and EXP, which quantifies the MR/EXP SST 650 difference at each grid point. The pattern is distinctly different from Figures 8a and 9c. 651 The high value distribution in Figure 15a reminds us the patches of negative values in 652 Figure 9c. The distribution of high-frequency sea surface height (SSH) variability 653 (Figure 15b) confirms that these regions are characterized by intensive ocean internal 654 variability. It means that at a specific grid point the MR/EXP SST difference may reflect 655 mainly the divergence of internal variability signals between MR and EXP rather than 656 the effect of the diurnal cycle. We therefore choose a small region with pronounced 657 internal variability and weak MJO responses to check: 80°-90°E, 20°-10°S. At the 658 center grid (85°E, 15°S) of this box, MR and EXP show large but weakly correlated 659 20-90-day SSTs  $(r = 0.19)$  (Figure 15c), which suggests that they are mainly induced by 660 ocean internal variability rather than atmospheric forcing. However, averaged over the 661 box, they are greatly reduced in amplitude but highly correlated with each other  $(r =$ 662 0.92) (Figure 15d). These signals are mainly the ocean's responses to atmospheric 663 intraseasonal oscillations like the MJO, and the rectification by the diurnal cycle is 664 clearly manifested. In Figure 13d we have shown that the diurnal cycle effect on SST in 665 the SCTR is greatly noised by westward propagating signals. Here we further plot out 666 SSH anomalies (SSHA) from MR and EXP at the latitudes of the SCTR (Figure 16). 667 They show generally agreed spatial-temporal patterns, but in fact their difference



676 Another interesting issue is that during the campaign period, the diurnal cycle 677 effect on intraseasonal SSTA is somewhat different from that in the composite MJO. We 678 attribute this to the background conditions like mean-state winds and MLD. This also 679 indicates the sensitivity of ocean diurnal variation and its rectification to the 680 ocean/atmosphere background conditions. Our present modeling work covers only 3 681 months of the CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign and only half of a wintertime MJO 682 event (MJO 2). Analysis of satellite observations suggested that there are three strong 683 winter MJO events occurred during November 2011- March 2012 [*Shinoda et al.*, 684 2013b; *Yoneyama et al.*, 2013]. With the temporal evolution of background conditions in 685 the TIO, the role of the diurnal cycle in each of these events may be different. Extended 686 model experiments covering the whole campaign period are required to examine this 687 event-by-event variance to accomplish our interpretation. Also worth discussing is the 688 method by which we include diurnal variation into the model. We consider an idealized 689 *QSW* diurnal cycle and ignore the diurnal variation of wind and precipitation. A better 690 model presentation of the SST diurnal cycle can be achieved in the future research by 691 considering these factors and compared with empirical parametric model predictions to

692 improve our understanding of the controlling processes [e.g., *Webster et al.*, 1996;

693 *Kawai and Kawamura*, 2002; *Clayson and Weitlich*, 2005]. Realistic simulating and

694 in-depth understanding of the ocean diurnal variation and its feedbacks to the

695 atmosphere will eventually contribute to the improvement of climate model prediction.

696 **5.2. Conclusions** 

697 Comparison between MR and EXP outputs reveals that over most areas of the TIO, 698 the diurnal cycle of shortwave radiation leads to a mean SST warming by about  $0.1^{\circ}$ C 699 and MLD shoaling by 2-5 m in winter. The diurnal cycle also acts to enhance the 700 20-90-day SST variability by around 20% in key regions like the SCTR (55°-70°E, 701 12°-4°S) and the CEIO (65°-95°E, 3°S-3°N). Composite analysis for the wintertime 702 MJO events reveals that at the calm stage of the MJO, under high solar insolation and 703 weak sea surface winds, the diurnal SST variation is strong and induces a 0.1-0.2°C 704 increase in ∆SST. At the wet phase, in contrast, ∆SST is near zero because the diurnal 705 ocean variation is suppressed by strong winds and low insolation. This calm/wet 706 contrast hence amplifies the SST response to the MJO, which is consistent with the 707 mechanism proposed by previous studies for the western Pacific warm pool [*Shinoda*  708 *and Hendon*, 1998; *Shinoda*, 2005]. 709 The model has also reproduced well the ocean variations associated with two MJO

710 events, MJO 1 and MJO 2, which were monitored by the observation network of the

711 CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign in September-November 2011. During that period,

712 *dSST* magnitude is around 0.7°C in the CEIO due to weak winds and much smaller in

713 the SCTR. MJO 1 exhibits behaviors typical of summertime MJOs, having limited

714 signature in the SCTR. MJO 2, which occurs in November, is initiated in the vicinity of

715 the SCTR and exhibits winter MJO features. During the two events, the diurnal cycle



736 discussion.

# 737 **Reference**





793 1295-1308.



- 822 *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *6*, 972-975.
- 823 Han, W., and J. P. McCreary (2001), Modeling salinity distributions in the Indian Ocean, 824 *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*, 859-877.
- 825 Han, W., T. Shinoda, L. L. Fu, and J. P. McCreary (2006), Impact of atmospheric
- 826 intraseasonal oscillations on the Indian Ocean Dipole during the 1990s, *J. Phys.*  827 *Oceanogr.*, *36*, 670-690.
- 828 Han, W., D. Yuan, W. T. Liu, and D. Halkides (2007), Intraseasonal variability of
- 829 Indian Ocean sea surface temperature during boreal winter: Madden-Julian 830 Oscillation versus submonthly forcing and processes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112,*
- 831 C04001, doi:10.1029/2006JC003791.
- 832 Han W., P. J. Webster, J. Lin, W. T. Liu and R. Fu, J. Lin and A. Hu (2008), Dynamics
- 833 of intraseasonal sea level and thermocline variability in the equatorial Atlantic 834 during 2002-2003, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *38*, 945-967.
- 835 Harrison, D. E., and G. A. Vecchi (2001), January 1999 Indian Ocean cooling event, 836 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *28*, 3717 –3720.
- 837 Hermes J. C, and C. J. C. Reason (2008), Annual cycle of the South Indian Ocean 838 (Seychelles–Chagos) thermocline ridge in a regional ocean model, *J. Geophys.*  839 *Res.*, *113*, C04035, doi:10.1029/2007JC004363.
- 840 Hosoda, S., T. Ohira, and T. Nakamura (2008), A monthly mean dataset of global 841 oceanic temperature and salinity derived from Argo float observations, *JAMSTEC*  842 *Rep. Res. Dev.*, *8*, 47-59.
- 843 Inness, P. M., and J. M. Slingo (2003), Simulation of the Madden-Julian oscillation in a 844 coupled general circulation model. Part I: Comparison with observations and an 845 atmosphere-only GCM, *J. Climate*, *16*(3), 345-364.
- 846 Inness, P. M., J. M. Slingo, E. Guilyardi, and J. Cole (2003), Simulation of the
- 847 Madden-Julian Oscillation in a coupled general circulation model. Part II: The role 848 of the basic state, *J. Climate*, *16*(3), 365-382.
- 849 Izumo, T., S. Masson, J. Vialard, C. de Boyer Montegut, S. K. Behera, G. Madec, K. 850 Takahashi, and T. Yamagata (2010), Low and high frequency Madden–Julian



879 composite life cycles of convection and circulation anomalies, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*,



- 881 Kummerow, C., W. Barnes, T. Kozu, J. Shiue, and J. Simpson (1998), The Tropical 882 Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 883 *15*, 809-817.
- 884 Large, W. G., G. Danabasoglu, S. C. Doney, and J. C. McWilliams (1997), Sensitivity 885 to surface forcing and boundary layer mixing in a global ocean model: 886 Annual-mean climatology, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 27, 2418-2447.
- 887 Large, W. G., J. C. McWilliams, and S. C. Doney (1994), Ocean vertical mixing: A 888 review and a model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization, *Rev.*  889 *Geophys.*, 32, 363–403, doi:10.1029/94RG01872.
- 890 Lau, W. K., D. E. Waliser, K. Sperber, J. Slingo, and P. Inness (2012), Modeling
- 891 intraseasonal variability, in *Intraseasonal Variability in the Atmosphere-Ocean*  892 *Climate System*, 399-431, Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- 893 Liebmann, B., and C. A. Smith (1996), Description of a complete (interpolated),
- 894 outgoing longwave radiation dataset, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, *77*, 1275– 1277.
- 895 Lin, J. L., and coauthors (2006), Tropical intraseasonal variability in 14 IPCC AR4 896 climate models. part I: Convective signals, *J. Climate*, *19*, 2665–2690.
- 897 Lloyd, I. D., and G. A. Vecchi (2010), Submonthly Indian Ocean cooling events and 898 their interaction with large-scale conditions, *J. Climate*, *23*, 700-716.
- 899 Locarnini, R. A., A. V. Mishonov, J. I. Antonov, T. P. Boyer, H. E. Garcia, O. K.
- 900 Baranova, M. M. Zweng, and D. R. Johnson (2010), *World Ocean Atlas 2009,*
- 901 *Volume 1: Temperature*, S. Levitus, Ed. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 68, U.S.
- 902 Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 184 pp.
- 903 Loeb, N. G., K. J. Priestley, D. P. Kratz, E. B. Geier, R. N. Green, B. A. Wielicki, P. O. 904 R. Hinton, and S. K. Nolan (2001), Determination of unfiltered radiances from the
- 905 Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System instrument, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, *40*, 906 822-835.
- 907 Madden, R. A., and P. R. Julian (1971), Detection of a 40–50 day oscillation in the



937 C12013, doi:10.1029/2009JC006075.

- 938 Pimentel, S., K. Haines, and N. Nichols (2008), Modeling the diurnal variability of sea 939 surface temperatures, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *113,* C11004, doi:10.1029/2007JC004607.
- 
- 940 Price, J. F., R. A. Weller, and R. Pinkel (1986), Diurnal Cycling: Observations and
- 941 Models of the Upper Ocean Response to Diurnal Heating, Cooling, and Wind 942 Mixing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *91*(C7), 8411-8427.
- 943 Saji, N., S. P. Xie, and C. Y. Tam (2006), Satellite observations of intense intraseasonal 944 cooling events in the tropical south Indian Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33,* L14704, 945 doi:10.1029/2006GL026525.
- 946 Sato, N., C. Takahashi, A. Seiki, K. Yoneyama, R. Shirooka, and Y. Takayabu (2009), 947 An evaluation of the reproducibility of the Madden-Julian oscillation in the CMIP3
- 948 multi-models, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, *87*, 791-805.
- 949 Saunders, P. M. (1967), The temperature at the ocean-air inter-face, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *24*, 950 269– 273.
- 951 Schiller, A., and J. Godfrey (2003), Indian Ocean intraseasonal variability in an ocean 952 general circulation model, *J. Climate*, *16*, 21-39.
- 953 Schott, F. A., S. P. Xie, and J. P. McCreary Jr (2009), Indian Ocean circulation and 954 climate variability, *Rev. Geophys.*, *47,* RG1002, doi:10.1029/2007RG000245.
- 955 Sengupta, D., B. N. Goswami, and R. Senan (2001), Coherent intraseasonal oscillations
- 956 of ocean and atmosphere during the Asian summer monsoon, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 957 *28*, 4127– 4130.
- 958 Shinoda, T. (2005), Impact of the diurnal cycle of solar radiation on intraseasonal SST 959 variability in the western equatorial Pacific, *J. Climate*, *18*, 2628-2636.
- 960 Shinoda, T., W. Han, E. J. Metzger, and H. E. Hurlburt (2012), Seasonal Variation of 961 the Indonesian Throughflow in Makassar Strait, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *42*, 962 1099-1123.
- 963 Shinoda, T., and H. H. Hendon (1998), Mixed layer modeling of intraseasonal 964 variability in the tropical western Pacific and Indian Oceans, *J. Climate*, *11*,

965 2668-2685.

- 966 Shinoda, T., H. H. Hendon, and J. Glick (1998), Intraseasonal variability of surface 967 fluxes and sea surface temperature in the tropical western Pacific and Indian 968 Oceans, *J. Climate*, *11*, 1685-1702. 969 Shinoda, T., T. Jensen, M. Flatau, S. Chen (2013a), Surface wind and upper ocean 970 variability associated with the Madden-Julian Oscillation simulated by the Coupled 971 Ocean/Atmosphere Mesoscale Prediction System (COAMPS). *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 972 *141*, 2290–2307. 973 Shinoda, T., T. Jensen, M. Flatau, W. Han, and C. Wang (2013b), Large-scale oceanic 974 variability associated with the Madden-Julian oscillation during the 975 CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign from satellite observations, *Remote Sensing*, *5*, 976 2072-2092. 977 Slingo, J., P. Inness, R. Neale, S. Woolnough, and G. Yang (2003), Scale interactions 978 on diurnal toseasonal timescales and their relevanceto model systematic errors, 979 *Ann. Geophys.*, *46*, 139-155. 980 Smith, W. H. F., and D. T. Sandwell (1997), Global sea floor topography from satellite 981 altimetry and ship depth soundings, *Science*, *277*, 1956-1962. 982 Soloviev, A., and R. Lukas (1997), Observation of large diurnal warming events in the 983 near-surface layer of the western equatorial Pacific warm pool, *Deep-Sea Res.,*  984 *Part I*, *44*, 1055-1076. 985 Sperber, K. R., S. Gualdi, S. Legutke, and V. Gayler (2005), The Madden–Julian 986 oscillation in ECHAM4 coupled and uncoupled general circulation models, *Clim.*  987 *Dyn.*, *25*, 117-140. 988 Stommel, H., K. Saunders, W. Simmons, and J. Cooper (1969), Observations of the 989 diurnal thermocline, *Deep-Sea Res.*, *16*, 269-284. 990 Stramma, L., P. Cornillon, R. A. Weller, J. F. Price, and M. G. Briscoe (1986), Large 991 diurnal sea surface temperature variability: Satellite and in situ measurements, *J.*  992 *Phys. Oceanogr.*, *16*, 827-837. 993 Stuart-Menteth, A. C., I. S. Robinson, and P. G. Challenor (2003), A global study of
	- 41
- 994 diurnal warming using satellite-derived sea surface temperature, *J. Geophys. Res*, 995 *108*(C5)*, 3155*, doi:10.1029/2002JC001534.
- 996 Sverdrup, H. U., R. H. Fleming, and M. W. Johnson (1942), *The oceans: their physics,*  997 *chemistry, and general biology*, Prentice-Hall New York
- 998 Terray, P., K. Kamala, S. Masson, G. Madec, A. Sahai, J.-J. Luo, and T. Yamagata 999 (2012), The role of the intra-daily SST variability in the Indian monsoon variability 1000 and monsoon-ENSO–IOD relationships in a global coupled model, *Clim. Dyn.*, *39*, 1001 729–754.
- 1002 Thadathil, P., P. M. Muraleedharan, R. R. Rao, Y. K. Somayajulu, G. V. Reddy, and C. 1003 Revichandran (2007), Observed seasonal variability of barrier layer in the Bay of 1004 Bengal, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, C02009, doi:10.1029/2006JC003651.
- 1005 Vialard, J., J. Duvel, M. McPhaden, P. Bouruet-Aubertot, B. Ward, E. Key, D. Bourras, 1006 R. Weller, P. Minnett, and A. Weill (2009), Cirene: Air---Sea Interactions in the 1007 Seychelles---Chagos Thermocline Ridge Region, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, *90*, 1008 45-61.
- 1009 Vialard, J., G. Foltz, M. J. McPhaden, J. P. Duvel, and C. de Boyer Montégut (2008), 1010 Strong Indian Ocean sea surface temperature signals associated with the 1011 Madden-Julian Oscillation in late 2007 and early 2008, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35,*
- 1012 *L19608*, doi:10.1029/2008GL035238.
- 1013 Vialard, J., A. Jayakumar, C. Gnanaseelan, M. Lengaigne, D. Sengupta, and B.
- 1014 Goswami (2011), Processes of 30–90 days sea surface temperature variability in
- 1015 the northern Indian Ocean during boreal summer, *Clim. Dyn.*, *38*, 1901-1916.
- 1016 Vinayachandran, P. N., V. S. N. Murty, and V. Ramesh Babu (2002), Observations of 1017 barrier layer formation in the Bay of Bengal during summer monsoon, *J. Geophys.*
- 1018 *Res.*, *107*(C12), 8018, doi:10.1029/2001JC000831.
- 1019 Vinayachandran, P., and N. Saji (2008), Mechanisms of south Indian Ocean
- 1020 intraseasonal cooling, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35,* L23607,
- 1021 doi:10.1029/2008GL035733.
- 1022 Waliser, D. E. (2005), Intraseasonal variability, *The Asian monsoon*, edited by B. Wang,
- 1023 Springer, Heidelberg, p844.
- 1024 Waliser, D. E., and N. E. Graham (1993), Convective cloud systems and warm-pool sea 1025 surface temperatures: Coupled interactions and self-regulation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1026 *98*(D7), 12881–12893.
- 1027 Waliser, D. E., K. Lau, and J. H. Kim (1999), The influence of coupled sea surface 1028 temperatures on the Madden-Julian oscillation: A model perturbation experiment, *J.*  1029 *Atmos. Sci.*, *56*, 333-358.
- 1030 Waliser, D. E., R. Murtugudde, and L. E. Lucas (2003), Indo-Pacific Ocean response to 1031 atmospheric intraseasonal variability: 1. Austral summer and the Madden-Julian 1032 Oscillation, *J. Geophys. Res*, *108*, 3160, doi:10.1029/2002JC001620.
- 1033 Waliser, D. E., R. Murtugudde, and L. E. Lucas (2004), Indo-Pacific Ocean response to 1034 atmospheric intraseasonal variability: 2. Boreal summer and the Intraseasonal 1035 Oscillation, *J. Geophys. Res*, *109, C03030*, doi:10.1029/2003JC002002.
- 1036 Wallcraft, A. J., E. J. Metzger, and S. N. Carroll (2009), Software design description for
- 1037 the HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM) Version 2.2, Tech. Rep.

1038 NRL/MR/7320-09-9166 ,Nav.Res.Lab,Stennis Space Center, Miss.

- 1039 Wang, B., and H. Rui (1990), Synoptic climatology of transient tropical intraseasonal 1040 convection anomalies: 1975 – 1985, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, *44*, 43 – 61.
- 1041 Wang, B., and X. S. Xie (1998), Coupled modes of the warm pool climate system. Part
- 1042 1: The role of air-sea interaction in maintaining Madden-Julian Oscillation, *J.*  1043 *Climate*, *11*, 2116 – 2135.
- 1044 Wang, J.-W., W. Han, and R. L. Sriver (2012a), Impact of tropical cyclones on the 1045 ocean heat budget in the Bay of Bengal during 1999: 1. Model configuration and 1046 evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *117, C09020*, doi:10.1029/2012JC008372.
- 1047 Wang, J.-W., W. Han, and R. L. Sriver (2012b), Impact of tropical cyclones on the 1048 ocean heat budget in the Bay of Bengal during 1999: 2. Processes and
- 1049 interpretations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *117, C09021*, doi:10.1029/2012JC008373.
- 1050 Watterson, I., and J. Syktus (2007), The influence of air–sea interaction on the
- 1051 Madden–Julian oscillation: The role of the seasonal mean state, *Clim. Dyn.*, *28*,

1052 703-722.

- 1053 Webber, B. G., A. J. Matthews, K. J. Heywood, and D. P. Stevens (2012), Ocean 1054 Rossby waves as a triggering mechanism for primary Madden–Julian events, *Q. J.*
- 1055 *R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *138*, 514–527.
- 1056 Webster, P. J., C. A. Clayson, and J. A. Curry (1996), Clouds, Radiation, and the 1057 Diurnal Cycle of Sea Surface Temperature in the Tropical Western Pacific, *J.*  1058 *Climate*, *9*, 1712-1730.
- 1059 Wentz, F. J., C. Gentemann, D. Smith, and D. Chelton (2000), Satellite measurements 1060 of sea surface temperature through clouds, *Science*, *288*, 847– 850.
- 1061 Wheeler M. C., and H. H. Hendon (2004), An all-season real-time multivariate MJO 1062 index: development of an index for monitoring and prediction, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*,
- 1063 *132*, 1917–1932.
- 1064 Wielicki, B. A., B. R. Barkstrom, E. F. Harrison, R. B. Lee III, G. Louis Smith, and J. E. 1065 Cooper (1996), Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES): An earth 1066 observing system experiment, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, *77*, 853-868.
- 1067 Woolnough, S. J., J. M. Slingo, and B. J. Hoskins (2000), The relationship between 1068 convection and sea surface temperature on intraseasonal timescales, *J. Climate*, *13*, 1069 2086–2104.
- 1070 Woolnough, S. J., J. M. Slingo, and B. J. Hoskins (2001), The organization of tropical 1071 convection by intraseasonal sea surface temperature anomalies, *Q. J. R. Meteorol.*  1072 *Soc.*, *127*, 887-907.
- 1073 Woolnough, S. J., F. Vitart, and M. Balmaseda (2007), The role of the ocean in the 1074 Madden–Julian Oscillation: Implications for MJO prediction, *Q. J. R. Meteorol.*  1075 *Soc.*, *133*, 117-128.
- 1076 Xavier, P. K. (2012), Intraseasonal convective moistening in CMIP3 models, *J. Climate*, 1077 *25*, 2569 –2577.
- 1078 Xie, S.-P., H. Annamalai, F. A. Schott, and J. P. McCreary Jr (2002), Structure and 1079 mechanisms of south indian ocean climate variability, *J. Climate*, *15*, 864-878.
- 1080 Yang, J., Q. Bao, X. Wang, and T. Zhou (2012), The tropical intraseasonal oscillation in 1081 SAMIL coupled and uncoupled general circulation models, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, *29*,
- 1082 529-543.
- 1083 Yang, G.-Y., and J. Slingo (2001), The diurnal cycle in the tropics, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, *129*, 1084 784-801.
- 1085 Yokoyama, R., S. Tanba, and T. Souma (1995), Sea surface effects on the sea surface 1086 temperature estimation by remote sensing, *Remote Sensing*, *16*, 227-238.
- 1087 Yoneyama, K., C. Zhang, and C. N. Long (2013), Tracking pulses of the Madden-Julian 1088 oscillation, *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, in press,
- 1089 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00157.1.
- 1090 Yuan, D., and W. Han (2006), Roles of equatorial waves and western boundary
- 1091 reflection in the seasonal circulation of the equatorial Indian Ocean, *J. Phys.*  1092 *Oceanogr.*, *36*, 930-944.
- 1093 Zhang, C. (2005), Madden-julian oscillation, *Rev. Geophys.*, *43,* RG2003, 1094 doi:10.1029/2004RG000158.
- 1095 Zhang, C., and M. Dong (2004), Seasonality in the Madden-Julian oscillation, *J.*  1096 *Climate*, *17*, 3169-3180.
- 1097 Zhang, C., M. Dong, S. Gualdi, H. H. Hendon, E. D. Maloney, A. Marshall, K. R.
- 1098 Sperber, and W. Wang (2006), Simulations of the Madden–Julian oscillation in 1099 four pairs of coupled and uncoupled models, *Clim. Dyn.*, *27*, 573–592.
- 1100 Zhang, C., J. Gottschalck, E. D. Maloney, M. W. Moncrieff, F. Vitart, D. E. Waliser, B. 1101 Wang, and M. C. Wheeler (2013), Cracking the MJO nut, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *40*, 1102 1223–1230, doi:1210.1002/grl.50244.
- 1103 Zhang, X., Y. Lu, K. R. Thompson, J. Jiang, and H. Ritchie (2010), Tropical Pacific
- 1104 Ocean and the Madden-Julian Oscillation: Role of wind and buoyancy forcing, *J.*  1105 *Geophys. Res.*, *115, C05022*, doi:10.1029/2009JC005734.
- 1106 Zhao, C., T. Li, and T. Zhou (2013), Precursor signals and processes associated with
- 1107 MJO initiation over the tropical Indian Ocean, *J. Climate*, *26*, 291–307.
- 1108 Zhou, L., R. Murtugudde, and M. Jochum (2008), Dynamics of the intraseasonal
- 1109 oscillations in the Indian Ocean South Equatorial Current, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *38*,
- 1110 121-132.

### 1111 **Figure Captions**

- **Figure 1.** Comparison of daily surface net shortwave radiation  $Q_{SW}$  (W m<sup>-2</sup>) between the
- 1113 CERES dataset (blue) and in-situ measurements of RAMA buoys (red) at (a) 80.5°E, 0°,
- 1114 (b) 80.5°E, 8°S, and (c) 90°E, 1.5°S. A surface albedo of 3% was applied to the RAMA
- 1115 buoy data before plotting.
- 1116 **Figure 2.** Mean wintertime (November-April) SST (°C) from (a) TMI satellite
- 1117 observation and (b) the HYCOM MR. Black vectors in (a) denotes the mean wintertime
- 1118  $CCMP$  wind stress (N m<sup>-2</sup>). Mean wintertime SSS (psu) from (c) the MOAA-GPV
- 1119 dataset and (d) the HYCOM MR. In all panels, variables are averaged for the period of

1120 January 2006 – November 2011. The two black rectangles denote the areas of the SCTR

- 1121 (55°-70°E, 12°-4°S) and CEIO (65°-95°E, 3°S-3°N) regions.
- 1122 **Figure 3.** Mean wintertime MLD (m) in the Indian Ocean basin during 2006-2011 from
- 1123 (a) the MOAA-GPV dataset and (b) HYCOM MR. Black contours' interval is 10 m. The
- 1124 two black rectangles denote the SCTR and CEIO.
- 1125 **Figure 4.** (a) SST time series (°C) averaged over the Indian Ocean basin (30°-110°E,
- 1126 36°S-30°N) from TMI (red solid) and HYCOM MR (blue solid). The dashed straight
- 1127 lines denote their 2005-2011mean values. (b) Mean temperature profiles for the SCTR
- 1128 region from the MOAA-GPV dataset (blue) and HYCOM MR (red). (c) is the same as
- 1129 (b) but for the CEIO region.
- 1130 **Figure 5.** Comparison of SST time series from RAMA buoys' in-situ measurements
- 1131 (green), TMI satellite observations (red), and HYCOM MR output (blue) at two sites
- 1132 representing (a) the SCTR region (67°E, 1.5°S) and (c) the CEIO region (80.5°E, 1.5°S.
- 1133 Right panels, (b) and (d), are their corresponding power spectrums (solid lines), with the
- 1134 dashed lines denoting 95% significance level. Here, power spectrums are calculated
- 1135 after a 20-90-day Lanczos band-pass filter to highlight the intraseasonal signals. SST of
- 1136 RAMA buoys are measured at 1.5-m depth.
- 1137 **Figure 6.** 1.5-m temperature (°C) measured by a RAMA buoy (red) and HYCOM MR
- 1138 0.26-m temperature (blue) and 1.5-m temperature (green) at 95°E, 5°S during the
- 1139 CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign period covered by our model simulation. Data are
- 1140 presented in 0.1-day resolution.

- 1141 **Figure 7.** Depth-date maps of daily temperature (°C) from DYNAMO buoys at (a) 79°E,
- 1142 0° and (b) 78°E, 1.5°S, with the MLD highlighted with blue curves. (c) and (d) are the
- 1143 corresponding maps from HYCOM MR. (e) and (f) compare the daily SST anomaly ( $^{\circ}$ C)
- 1144 from DYNAMO buoys (red) and HYCOM MR (blue) at the two buoy sites.
- 1145 **Figure 8.** Mean fields of (a) SST difference (color shading; in °C) and (b) MLD
- 1146 difference (color shading; in m) between MR and EXP, i.e., ∆SST and ∆MLD, in winter.
- 1147 Black contours denote mean winter SST and MLD from MR.
- 1148 **Figure 9.** STD maps of 20-90-day SST (°C) from (a) TMI and (b) MR. (c) The
- 1149 difference of 20-90-day SST STD (°C) between MR and EXP and (d) its ratio (%)
- 1150 relative to the EXP value. The two black rectangles denote the areas of the SCTR and
- 1151 CEIO. All the STD values are calculated for winter months (November-April) in
- 1152 2006-2011.
- **Figure 10.** 20-90-day OLR (W  $m^{-2}$ ) averaged over (a) the SCTR region and (b) the
- 1154 CEIO region. The red straight lines indicate one STD value range. Wintertime OLR
- 1155 minima with magnitude exceeding 1.5 STD value are highlighted with red asterisks.
- 1156 Time series of 20-90-day SST (°C) from MR (red) and EXP (blue) averaged over (c) the
- 1157 SCTR region and (d) the CEIO region.
- **Figure 11.** Evolutions of (a) 20-90-day OLR (pink; in W m<sup>-2</sup>) and unfiltered  $\tau$ <sub>*x*</sub> (green;
- in N m<sup>-2</sup>), (b) SST (in °C), (c) MLD *H* (m), and (d) mean mixed layer heating  $Q/H$  (W
- 1160  $\text{m}^3$ ) of the composite wintertime MJO event in the SCTR region. In (b)-(d), red (blue)
- 1161 curves denote variables of MR (EXP). (e)-(h) are the same as (a)-(d) but for the CEIO
- 1162 region.
- 1163 **Figure 12.** Mean fields of (a) surface diurnal warming *dSST* (°C), (b) shortwave
- 1164 radiation  $Q_{SW}$  (W m<sup>-2</sup>), and (c) wind speed (color shading; in m s<sup>-1</sup>) and wind stress
- 1165 (black vectors; in N m<sup>-2</sup>) during the campaign period (9/16-11/29 2011). Here  $dSST$  is
- 1166 defined as the difference between the MR SST maximum between 10:30 and 21:00 LST
- 1167 and the preceding minimum between 0:00 and 10:30 LST in each day. The two black
- 1168 rectangles denote the SCTR and CEIO.
- 1169 **Figure 13.** Upper panels: time-longitude plots of (a) 20-90-day OLR (W m<sup>-2</sup>), (b)
- 1170 unfiltered zonal wind stress  $τ_x$  (N m<sup>-2</sup>), (c) MR SSTA (°C), and (d)  $\Delta SST$  (in °C)
- 1171 averaged in the latitude range of the SCTR (12°-4°S). The two dashed lines indicate the
- 1172 longitude range of the SCTR (55°-70°E). Lower panels are the same as the uppers but in
- 1173 the latitude range of the CEIO ( $3^{\circ}$ S- $3^{\circ}$ N), with the two dashed lines indicating its
- 1174 longitude range (65°-95°E). We defined six stages based on the 20-90-day OLR value in
- 1175 the CEIO region: 10/01-10/11, the calm stage of MJO 1 (CM-1); 10/11-10/21, the
- 1176 developing stage of the MJO 1 (DV-1); 10/21-10/29, the wet stage of the MJO 1 (WT-1);
- 1177 10/29-11/8, the decaying stage of MJO 1 (DC-1); 11/08-11/15, the calm stage of MJO 2
- 1178 (CM-2); and 11/15-11/29, the developing stage of MJO 2.
- **Figure 14.** Evolutions of (a) 20-90-day OLR (pink; in W m<sup>-2</sup>) and unfiltered  $\tau$ <sub>*x*</sub> (green;
- 1180 in N m<sup>-2</sup>), (b) SST (°C), (c) MLD *H* (m), (d) mean mixed layer heating  $Q/H$  (W m<sup>-3</sup>), (e)
- the MR-EXP difference in daily upward turbulent heat flux  $\Delta Q_T$  (W m<sup>-2</sup>), and (f) the  $Q_T$
- 1182 diurnal cycle (W  $m^{-2}$ ) averaged in the SCTR region. In (b)-(d), grey, red, and blue
- 1183 curves denote respectively the variables from 0.1-day MR output, daily MR output, and
- 1184 daily EXP output. (g)-(l) are the same as (a)-(f) but for the CEIO region.
- 1185 **Figure 15.** (a) Root-mean-squared (rms) SST difference (°C) between MR and EXP,
- 1186 ∆SST, and (b) STD of 120-day high-passed SSH (cm) from MR in winter. (c) Time
- 1187 series of 20-90-day SST at the site 85°E, 15°S from MR (red) and EXP (blue). (d) is the
- 1188 same as (c) but for the 20-90-day SST averaged over the region  $80^{\circ}$ - $90^{\circ}E$ ,  $20^{\circ}$ - $10^{\circ}S$ .
- 1189 The black asterisk and rectangle in (a) and (b) denote respectively the site for (c) and
- 1190 region for (d).
- 1191 **Figure 16.** Time-longitude plots of daily SSHA (cm) from (a) MR and (b) EXP, and (c)
- 1192 their difference ∆SSHA averaged in the latitude range of the SCTR (12°-4°S).

### **Figures**



- **Figure 1.** Comparison of daily surface net shortwave radiation  $Q_{SW}$  (W m<sup>-2</sup>) between the
- 4 CERES dataset (blue) and in-situ measurements of RAMA buoys (red) at (a) 80.5°E, 0°,
- 5 (b) 80.5°E, 8°S, and (c) 90°E, 1.5°S. A surface albedo of 3% was applied to the RAMA
- 6 buoy data before plotting.



7

8 **Figure 2.** Mean wintertime (November-April) SST (°C) from (a) TMI satellite

9 observation and (b) the HYCOM MR. Black vectors in (a) denotes the mean wintertime

10  $\,$  CCMP wind stress (N m<sup>-2</sup>). Mean wintertime SSS (psu) from (c) the MOAA-GPV

11 dataset and (d) the HYCOM MR. In all panels, variables are averaged for the period of

12 January 2006 – November 2011. The two black rectangles denote the areas of the SCTR

13 (55°-70°E, 12°-4°S) and CEIO (65°-95°E, 3°S-3°N) regions.



**Figure 3.** Mean wintertime MLD (m) in the Indian Ocean basin during 2006-2011 from

16 (a) the MOAA-GPV dataset and (b) HYCOM MR. Black contours' interval is 10 m. The

17 two black rectangles denote the SCTR and CEIO.



19 **Figure 4.** (a) SST time series (°C) averaged over the Indian Ocean basin (30°-110°E, 20 36°S-30°N) from TMI (red solid) and HYCOM MR (blue solid). The dashed straight 21 lines denote their 2005-2011mean values. (b) Mean temperature profiles for the SCTR 22 region from the MOAA-GPV dataset (blue) and HYCOM MR (red). (c) is the same as 23 (b) but for the CEIO region.





25 **Figure 5.** Comparison of SST time series from RAMA buoys' in-situ measurements

- 26 (green), TMI satellite observations (red), and HYCOM MR output (blue) at two sites
- 27 representing (a) the SCTR region (67°E, 1.5°S) and (c) the CEIO region (80.5°E, 1.5°S.
- 28 Right panels, (b) and (d), are their corresponding power spectrums (solid lines), with the
- 29 dashed lines denoting 95% significance level. Here, power spectrums are calculated
- 30 after a 20-90-day Lanczos band-pass filter to highlight the intraseasonal signals. SST of
- 31 RAMA buoys are measured at 1.5-m depth.



33 **Figure 6.** 1.5-m temperature (°C) measured by a RAMA buoy (red) and HYCOM MR

34 0.26-m temperature (blue) and 1.5-m temperature (green) at 95°E, 5°S during the

35 CINDY/DYNAMO field campaign period covered by our model simulation. Data are

36 presented in 0.1-day resolution.



38 **Figure 7.** Depth-date maps of daily temperature (°C) from DYNAMO buoys at (a) 79°E, 39 0° and (b) 78°E, 1.5°S, with the MLD highlighted with blue curves. (c) and (d) are the 40 corresponding maps from HYCOM MR. (e) and (f) compare the daily SST anomaly (°C)

41 from DYNAMO buoys (red) and HYCOM MR (blue) at the two buoy sites.



**Figure 8.** Mean fields of (a) SST difference (color shading; in °C) and (b) MLD

- 44 difference (color shading; in m) between MR and EXP, i.e., ∆SST and ∆MLD, in winter.
- 45 Black contours denote mean winter SST and MLD from MR.



47 **Figure 9.** STD maps of 20-90-day SST (°C) from (a) TMI and (b) MR. (c) The

48 difference of 20-90-day SST STD (°C) between MR and EXP and (d) its ratio (%)

49 relative to the EXP value. The two black rectangles denote the areas of the SCTR and

50 CEIO. All the STD values are calculated for winter months (November-April) in

51 2006-2011.





**Figure 10.** 20-90-day OLR (W m<sup>-2</sup>) averaged over (a) the SCTR region and (b) the

54 CEIO region. The red straight lines indicate one STD value range. Wintertime OLR

55 minima with magnitude exceeding 1.5 STD value are highlighted with red asterisks.

56 Time series of 20-90-day SST (°C) from MR (red) and EXP (blue) averaged over (c) the

57 SCTR region and (d) the CEIO region.



**Figure 11.** Evolutions of (a) 20-90-day OLR (pink; in W m<sup>-2</sup>) and unfiltered  $\tau$ <sub>*x*</sub> (green; 60 in N m<sup>-2</sup>), (b) SST (in °C), (c) MLD *H* (m), and (d) mean mixed layer heating  $Q/H$  (W) 61  $\text{m}^{-3}$ ) of the composite wintertime MJO event in the SCTR region. In (b)-(d), red (blue) 62 curves denote variables of MR (EXP). (e)-(h) are the same as (a)-(d) but for the CEIO 63 region.



65 **Figure 12.** Mean fields of (a) surface diurnal warming *dSST* (°C), (b) shortwave

66 radiation  $Q_{SW}$  (W m<sup>-2</sup>), and (c) wind speed (color shading; in m s<sup>-1</sup>) and wind stress

67 (black vectors; in N m<sup>-2</sup>) during the campaign period (9/16-11/29 2011). Here  $dSST$  is

68 defined as the difference between the MR SST maximum between 10:30 and 21:00 LST

69 and the preceding minimum between 0:00 and 10:30 LST in each day. The two black

70 rectangles denote the SCTR and CEIO.





74 averaged in the latitude range of the SCTR (12°-4°S). The two dashed lines indicate the

75 longitude range of the SCTR (55°-70°E). Lower panels are the same as the uppers but in

76 the latitude range of the CEIO ( $3^{\circ}S-3^{\circ}N$ ), with the two dashed lines indicating its

77 longitude range (65°-95°E). We defined six stages based on the 20-90-day OLR value in

- 78 the CEIO region: 10/01-10/11, the calm stage of MJO 1 (CM-1); 10/11-10/21, the
- 79 developing stage of the MJO 1 (DV-1); 10/21-10/29, the wet stage of the MJO 1 (WT-1);
- 80 10/29-11/8, the decaying stage of MJO 1 (DC-1); 11/08-11/15, the calm stage of MJO 2
- 81 (CM-2); and 11/15-11/29, the developing stage of MJO 2.



**Figure 14.** Evolutions of (a) 20-90-day OLR (pink; in W m<sup>-2</sup>) and unfiltered  $\tau$ <sub>*x*</sub> (green; in N m<sup>-2</sup>), (b) SST (°C), (c) MLD *H* (m), (d) mean mixed layer heating  $Q/H$  (W m<sup>-3</sup>), (e) the MR-EXP difference in daily upward turbulent heat flux  $\Delta Q_T$  (W m<sup>-2</sup>), and (f) the  $Q_T$ 86 diurnal cycle (W m<sup>-2</sup>) averaged in the SCTR region. In (b)-(d), grey, red, and blue 87 curves denote respectively the variables from 0.1-day MR output, daily MR output, and 88 daily EXP output. (g)-(l) are the same as (a)-(f) but for the CEIO region.





90 **Figure 15.** (a) Root-mean-squared (rms) SST difference (°C) between MR and EXP,

91 ∆SST, and (b) STD of 120-day high-passed SSH (cm) from MR in winter. (c) Time

92 series of 20-90-day SST at the site 85°E, 15°S from MR (red) and EXP (blue). (d) is the

93 same as (c) but for the 20-90-day SST averaged over the region 80°-90°E, 20°-10°S.

94 The black asterisk and rectangle in (a) and (b) denote respectively the site for (c) and

95 region for (d).



97 **Figure 16.** Time-longitude plots of SSHA (cm) from (a) MR and (b) EXP, and (c) their

98 difference ∆SSHA averaged for the latitudes of the SCTR (12°-4°S).